IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON FOR THE COUNTY OF CLACKAMAS CITY OF MOLALLA

State of Oregon	Case No:
V.	ORDER RE: DUII DIVERSION
D	Defendant
DL: DOB:	
The alleged DUII occurred on (date)	
Based on Defendant's DUII Diversion P	Petition and Agreement, THE COURT ORDERS:
The petition for diversion is Denied Allowed . The court withhold the diversion agreement and order	s entry of a judgment of conviction pending completion or termination of ers that:
	vith all terms in the Petition and Agreement Assessment Evaluator
🔲 Defendant must file a m	ginning (<i>date</i>) and ending (<i>date</i>) notion to dismiss after the diversion period ends in order for the court to <i>his option is not checked the defendant does not need to file a motion to</i>
deferred. Payment is due □ imn \$ /month due by the	0.00 to the court for the diversion as required by statute unless waived or nediately or □ per payment schedule: day of each month beginning
4.) 🗖 Defendant must attend a victin	m impact panel approved by this court and must pay a participation fee Panel Date :
defendant has the ability	pointed attorney fees on a schedule determined by the court. The court finds that the to pay court-appointed attorney fees. te limited judgment or order
the Defendant during the period ☐ Defendant's BAC was .08% or ☐ Defendant refused to subm ☐ Chemical analysis of breath	nd use an ignition interlock device (IID) in any vehicle operated by of the agreement when the Defendant has driving privileges* because: more as shown by chemical analysis of breath or blood it to a breath or blood test or blood shows that Defendant's BAC was more than 0.0% and nce of cannabis, a controlled substance, or an inhalant
☐ meets the req Transportation r ☐ submitted to	install an IID because Defendant: uirements for medical exemption under Oregon Department of ules and is exempt from the IID requirement a blood, breath, or urine test that showed no cannabis, inhalants, ubstances, and a BAC below 0.08%

*If Defendant is required to operate an employer-owned motor vehicle, an IID need not be installed if Defendant notifies employer of the IID requirement and has written proof of the notification

□ Defendant is ordered to install and use an IID because the court finds it is appropriate despite chemical analysis of breath or blood showing a BAC below .08% (*discretionary*)

- 7.) Defendant must be booked and fingerprinted
- 8.) Restitution/Other:

Judge Signature

Date

*If Defendant is required to operate an employer-owned motor vehicle, an IID need not be installed if Defendant notifies employer of the IID requirement and has written proof of the notification