Appendix C

OFWAM Data and Summary Sheets



(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | MR-1 | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/25/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.05 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | SE,FS | | |
| Wetland Location: | Molalla High School | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | | Fish Habitat | Wat Qual | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|----------------|------|-----------------|-------------|----|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|---|
| Q | in A | Q | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | Q-1 | С | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | B |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | A | | | 31 | TOWN TO THE | SOUTH . | | |
| 0.01 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| | The state of the s |
|-----------------------|--|
| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is lost or not present |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | SEASON NEWS |
|----------------------|--------|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|-------------|
| Q | A | Q | * A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | С |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | Ldvi17 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | MR-1 | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.05 | | |
| Date: | 4/25/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | 州市共和省 对北部省市高州 | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is primar | ily open space | | |
| 一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个 | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is grea | ter than 40% | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 20 代表的 在 1000年1200年1200年1200年1200年1200年1200年1200 | | |
| na na magilia da la Magilia da la magilia da la | The second secon | | service or a vist | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality for | nction is lost or not present | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Isolated from other | wetlands | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is primar | | | |
| ixationaic: | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | | | |
| Result: | | rol is impacted or degraded | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Open space downslope of development | | | |
| | Flow out of wetland is restricted | Development upslope | The same of the sa | | |
| Result: | | ensitive to future impacts | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is primari | ly open space | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily agricultur | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | | | | |
| NEW WHITE | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less than | 0.5 acres | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is greater than 40% | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to 1 | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potentia | al for educational use | 在《美国教育》 | | |
| | Wetland access by landowner permission | No access point to we | tland exists | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | |
| la l | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | -10 18 19 1 | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or do | es not provide rec. opportur | nities and analysis | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat f | or some wildlife | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is conside | ered to be pleasing | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by la | ndscaped areas | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | at wetland | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural no | oises are present | | |

Oregon Freshwater Wetland Assessment Methodology (Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | MR-2 | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM, PFO | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.90 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Molalla Buckaroo Stadium | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | | Fish Habita | t | Wat Qual | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|----------------|---|----------------|---|-------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | \mathbf{A} | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | Α | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | A ARABATA | | | | Q-7 | В | | 111 |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | 0475 F | 10.00 | | |
| O-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|---|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is impacted or degraded |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is intact |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | terror a | Educa | tion | Recreation | | on Aesthetic Quality | |
|----------------------|----------|-------|------|------------|---|-------------------------|---|
| \mathbf{Q} | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | MR-2 | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.90 | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM, PFO | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | 就全部的1.重泛排放性 | | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qua | lity limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land use is primarily agricult | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is | TO SHOULD SEE THE SECOND SECON | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish habitat func | tion is impacted or degrad | led | | | | |
| aller in the second second | 25-50% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qual | ity Limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Stream banks are extensively modified | Adjacent land use is pri | imarily agriculture | | | | |
| 作問題 | >25% of stream has instream structures | Warmwater fish pre | esent in stream | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-qua | ality function is intact | Kerista Kalendari di Kalendari | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | n to other wetlands | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land use is pri | imarily agriculture | | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Qual | ity Limited streams | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degrade | d | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woo | dy vegetation | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Agriculture downslo | ope of wetland | | | | |
| 全国的 | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Agriculture upslope of wetland | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | |
| Comments and the | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is pri | marily agriculture | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prim | arily development | | | | |
| ation 25 of | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woo | dy vegetation | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | hancement potential | 和自由地域的区域 | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between | 0.5 and 5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is 1 | ess than 10% | | | | |
| Maria and Th | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive t | o future impacts | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | 高级的现在分词 | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to | wetland exists | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited n | nobility accessible | | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or do | | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | |
| | Unmaintained trails, viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consider | | | | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by | | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors prese | | | | | |
| (1) 特別特別 | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural | noises are present | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla LV | WI Wetland: | MR-3f | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEMf | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/25/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.30 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | SE,FS | | |
| Wetland Location: | West of Hwy 211, north of Shirley Street (east end of Molalla) | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | Children to the Children | Fish Habita | t | Wat Qual | | Hydrol Cont | | Sensiti to Imj | 300 E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------|-------------|----|----------------|------------|-------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | JE | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | 11000 | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | Tag L | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | TOTA STICE | Dist. | | | Q-7 | В | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | £1 | rog in E | Terr Light | | |
| Q-9A | ni guiz | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Educa | tion | Recreation | | Aesth Qual | |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------|------|------------|---|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | Birnes | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | $-\mathbf{B}$ | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | MR-3f | | |
|----------------|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.30 | | |
| Date: | 4/25/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEMf | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | and the Medical | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is prin | narily agriculture | | |
| 第二条 第二条 | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ess than 10% | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | and a Management of the | | |
| | iegrawyn/, t | and Condition Assessmen | and that it | | |
| Rationale: | New York, they pay out 1/400 see | | Author and | | |
| | of after carries and a country considera- | CANALLY PARTY PARTY | araduri bila | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater Isolated from other wetla | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is prin | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Qualit | y Limited streams | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | 产的原理的自由的经济是 | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Agriculture downslope of wetland | | | |
| ESTABLE. | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Agriculture upslope | e of wetland | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prin | narily agriculture | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily developme | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetatio | n, no ponding | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | potential for enhancement | 病精神的特殊人类 | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less that | n 0.5 acres | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is le | ss than 10% | | |
| 5、中国国际企业 | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | Market Karaman Andrews | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited m | obility accessible | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | C C III C | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | |
| Result: | | ered to be pleasing | 的 的复数特殊的 新疆 | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by l | andscaped areas | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors preser | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural i | noises are present | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L | WI Wetland: | CC-1 | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PSS | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.61 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | SE/PF | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Toliver Road | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | Wat Qual | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|-------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q A | Q | A | \mathbf{Q} | \mathbf{A} | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | \mathbf{C} | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | Α | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | Α | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | -9 | | | |
| O-9A | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control function is intact |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|-------|-------|------|--------|--------------|---------------|------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | Α | \mathbf{Q} | \mathbf{A} |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | С |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | \mathbf{C} | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | Lag 1 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | \boldsymbol{C} |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | Α |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | - A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-1 | | | | | |
|--------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.61 | | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PSS | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | it for some wildlife species | 国的特殊 医多种原则 | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is most | | | | | | |
| 是有18年12年12年 | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 特别的 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | THE STATE OF THE S | A WEST STREET, OF TAXES | West William | | | | | |
| 5000多数制度度 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrade | ed with the state of the state | | | | | |
| 7 | Primary water source is groundwater | Isolated from other | r wetlands | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | | |
| 等意數學便 | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | Limited streams | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic control function is intact | | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | y vegetation | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslo | | | | | | |
| | Flow out of wetland is restricted | Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially so | ensitive to future impacts | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily developm | | | | | | |
| 全国发展的 | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woody | y vegetation | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | hancement potential | Man Market | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less than | n 0.5 acres | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40 | | | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | Pretragalish Kalen | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is limited mob | ility accessible | | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | | | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | llowed | | | | | |
| Result: | | sthetically pleasing | | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | CC-2 | |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.25 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF/ JVS | |
| Wetland Location: | North of To | Court | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|------|-----------------|-------|------------------|-----|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---|
| Q | A | \mathbf{Q} | A | Q | A | \mathbf{Q} | \mathbf{A} | Q | Α |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | 0.020 | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1_ | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | 7 500 | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В - | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 1060 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 1 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | 7.77 | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | _ |
| Q-8 | C | | | | 300 | | 21 | | |
| O-9A | -1-1 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potenti | | Educa | tion | Recreation | | Aesthetic Quality | |
|---------------------|---------|-------|------|------------|---|----------------------|---|
| \mathbf{Q} | A | Q | A | Q | Α | Q | A |
| Q-1 | Α | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | С | Q-1 | С |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | Sin Mar | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-2 | | |
|----------------|---|---|----------------------|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.25 | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | 数加到他数据企业运 收 | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | |
| 三国的 | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is betwee | n 10% and 40% | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 加克斯斯斯 克斯克 | | |
| Rationale: | BO VINICADO TOVO TOVO DANSO E | The second of the Children | MALORE. | | |
| | is of a garage and | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrade | d | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stream | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | 诺斯斯特的主席 医大胆道 | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation, no pondin | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslop | pe of wetland | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope | e of wetland | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is most | y developed | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily developm | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high er | nhancement potential | 服务的影響的 | | |
| 克里斯 斯曼克 | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0.5 | 5 and 5 acres | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is between | n 10% and 40% | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | 第 人2015年,大年发展 | | |
| 计算数据 | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | tland exists | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opportui | iities | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat f | or some wildlife | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not ae | sthetically pleasing | 化工作的原子 (由) | | |
| 100 | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by la | indscaped areas | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | at wetland | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Some traffic and natural no | oises are present | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | CC-3 | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/20/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.08 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | PF/CR | |
| Wetland Location: | Transfer of the Parket | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | STREET, STREET | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------|-----|--|------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | - 1 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | A | |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 331 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | |
| Q-7 | A | | | | - | Q-7 | A | | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | - | | 73 | | | |
| O-9A | a feet and | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recreation | | Aestheti Quality | |
|----------------------|------|-------|------|------------|---|---------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | 1000 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-3 | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.08 | | | | | |
| Date: | 4/20/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | New Person Delice Laboration | | | | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mostly develope | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is greater than 40% | | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 以在中国行动设计 型 | | | | | |
| | 271 | a sa A a Itila | and the state of | | | | | |
| Rationale: | A SAN TRANSPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT | Visit use - 1 | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | tion is impacted or degrade | ed | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is most | | | | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited strea | | | | | | |
| Result: | | rol is impacted or degraded | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslo | pe of wetland | | | | | |
| | Flow out of wetland is restricted | Development upslop | 20 W 150 | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily developme | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high er | nhancement potential | | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | 5 and 5 acres | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is grea | ter than 40% | | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | Andrew Co | | | | | |
| s a figure t | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | | | |
| 法法法法法 | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | | |
| ALC: NO. | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not ae | sthetically pleasing | | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | development | | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla LV | VI Wetland: | CC-4 | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 5/1/01 and OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 3.06 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | SE/PF | |
| Wetland Location: | In Big Meadow Subdivision. | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------------|-----|--------------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | | 4 1 | | |
| A O O | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is impacted or degraded |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | cation Recreation Aesth Qual | | Recreation | | | |
|----------------------|---|-------|------------------------------|-----|------------|-----|---|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C | |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-4 | | | |
|--------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 3.06 | | | |
| Date: | 5/1/01 and OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | 的。 1000 Annual Complete Comp | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Qua | lity limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | | |
| 计算是图像 | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish habitat func | tion is impacted or degrad | ed | | | |
| NOTE TO SE | Less than 25% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qual | ity Limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Only portions of stream are modified | Adjacent land use is pri | imarily agriculture | | | |
| | <10% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout p | present in stream | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun- | ction is impacted or degra | ded | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | n to other wetlands | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is pri | marily agriculture | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Qual | ity Limited streams | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | Vetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetati | on, no ponding | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Agriculture downsle | ope of wetland | | | |
| | Flow out of wetland is restricted | Development upslope of wetland | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially so | ensitive to future impacts | Charles Control | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is pri | marily agriculture | | | |
| Rationale: | | | arily development | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetati | on, no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | hancement potential | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between | 0.5 and 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is l | ess than 10% | | | |
| 提供的 | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive t | o future impacts | | | |
| Result: | | priate for educational use | altyrical figures are sold | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited r | nobility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is | | | | |
| 12 1 St | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is | allowed | | | |
| Result: | | thetically pleasing | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | landscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | Less than 25% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors prese | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural | noises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | CC-5 |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.32 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | SE/FS |
| Wetland Location: | In Big Meadow Subdivision. | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|-----|------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|--------------------------|--|
| Q | A | Q A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | B | |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | (| |
| Q-7 | A | | | | Q-7 | A | | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | - | 0 | | | | |
| Q-9A | C | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Educa | tion- | Recrea | ation | Aesth Qual | |
|--------------------------|---|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-5 | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.32 | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | it for some wildlife species | 基本的 技术,和1967年 | | | |
| 門在於為世紀 | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is prim | arily agriculture | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 型開發問題有其影響 | | | |
| THE SHAPE OF THE | | and the little black | - itani | | | |
| Rationale: | | | 3 Y 77 | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | tion is impacted or degrade | A | | | |
| Result. | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land use is prim | | | | |
| Rationale. | Low vegetation cover | | | | | |
| Result: | | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stream ntrol is impacted or degraded | | | | |
| Result: | | | no nonding | | | |
| Detail | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Agriculture downslope of wetland | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Flow out of wetland is restricted | Development upslope of wetland | | | | |
| Dev | | , | e of wetland | | | |
| Result: | | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prim | | | | |
| Rationale: | Mater not taken out Adjacent zoning is primarily | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | i, no ponding | | | |
| Result: | | hancement potential | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is les | | | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | | priate for educational use | | | | |
| The control of the | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | L. company | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wile | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not aes | thetically pleasing | 特別的問題。 | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by la | andscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Less than 25% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present Some traffic and natural | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | CC-6A | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM/PFO | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.62 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | SE/PF | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Toliver Road, east of old road bed | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|---|-----|------------------|-----|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | B | |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | (| |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | B | |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | (| |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | - | 10 | | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9B | В | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | |
|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat Wetland's fish habitat function is impacted or degraded | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-6A | | | | | |
|------------|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.62 | | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM/PFO | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | 阿斯特里达到 第四届 | | | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qua | lity limited streams | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is primarily agricultur | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is betw | veen 10% and 40% | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish habitat func | tion is impacted or degrad | led | | | | | |
| | Less than 25% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qual | lity Limited streams | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Only portions of stream are modified | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | | | | |
| | <10% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout | present in stream | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fund | ction is impacted or degra | ded | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | on to other wetlands | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stream | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetati | ion, no ponding | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Agriculture downsl | ope of wetland | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developmen | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetati | on, no ponding | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | hancement potential | | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between | 0.5 and 5 acres | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% | | | | | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive t | o future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | 和独立的战争。 | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to | wetland exists | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited r | nobility accessible | | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or do | es not provide rec. oppor | tunities | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered to | be moderately pleasing | | | | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded | by natural areas | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors prese | | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural | noises are present | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | CC-6B | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 2.90 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | SE/PF | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Toliver Road, west of railroad tracks | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|------|------------------|---|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | \mathbf{C} | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | B |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | 1 4,116,11 | 2.10 | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | 15, 7 7 | 61.5 | | |
| Ω | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is impacted or degraded | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | | | Recrea | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|--------------------------|---|-----|---|--------|------|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | Α |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | С | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | Α | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-6B | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 2.90 | | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM/PFO | | | | | |
| Result: | | t for some wildlife species | 的是多数数据 为主义。 | | | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qua | lity limited streams | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is primarily agriculture | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is betw | veen 10% and 40% | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish habitat func | tion is impacted or degrad | led | | | | | |
| | Less than 25% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qual | lity Limited streams | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Only portions of stream are modified | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | | | | |
| | <10% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout | present in stream | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fund | ction is impacted or degra | ded | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | on to other wetlands | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited strea | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Agriculture downslope of wetland | | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily agriculture | | | | | | |
| The state of the | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetati | on, no ponding | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | hancement potential | | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between | 0.5 and 5 acres | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is betw | een 10% and 40% | | | | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to | wetland exists | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited i | nobility accessible | | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or do | es not provide rec. oppor | tunities | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habita | nt for some wildlife | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered to | be moderately pleasing | | | | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded | by natural areas | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors prese | ent at wetland | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural | noises are present | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | CC-7A | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|-------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PFO | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.63 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF/CR | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Toliver Road, east of railroad tracks. | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | | Fish Habita | it | Wat Qual | 53 (2.79 SHIPTER) | Hydrol Cont | | Sensiti to Imp | NO. FOR SAME THAN SAME |
|-----------------|---|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|---|-------------------|------------------------|
| Q | A | Q | \mathbf{A} | Q | A | \mathbf{Q} | Α | Q | Α |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | U.L. | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | - | 111111 | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9B | C | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is intact |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recreation | | Aesthetic Quality | |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|------------|---|-------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | Α | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-7A | | | | |
|---------------|---|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.63 | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | "我们是我们是 | | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qua | lity limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is | less than 10% | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish habi | tat function is intact | 是特別的學術,有一种特別 | | | | |
| * 1910 (1911) | 25-50% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qual | ity Limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Stream is in a natural channel | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed | | | | |
| 有种种类型 | 10-25% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout | oresent in stream | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fund | ction is impacted or degra | ded | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | n to other wetlands | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed | | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stream | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | ntrol is impacted or degraded | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Agriculture downsl | ope of wetland | | | | |
| | Minor restrictions slow down the water | Development upslope of wetland | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially se | ensitive to future impacts | | | | | |
| John March | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prim | narily development | | | | |
| 诗的图象诗句 | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woo | dy vegetation | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | hancement potential | 的原理的意思。一种 | | | | |
| STABLE OF THE | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between | 0.5 and 5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is | ess than 10% | | | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | | priate for educational use | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited r | nobility accessible | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is | allowed | | | | |
| Result: | | be moderately pleasing | | | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by | landscaped areas | | | | |
| Rationale: | Less than 25% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors prese | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural | noises are present | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | CC-7B | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.81 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF/CR | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Toliver Road, east of railroad tracks. | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | 1年 日本の日本 | Fish Habita | ıt | Wat Qual | THE SELECTION OF | Hydrol Cont | GENERAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O | Sensiti to Imp | DF00210.2.2 |
|----------------|----------|----------------|----|-------------|------------------|----------------|--|-------------------|-------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | 0 |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | B |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | Α. | | 11019 | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|---|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is impacted or degraded |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is intact |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancer Potenti | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|---------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-7B | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.81 | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | 4. 第四十個個個人。15 | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quali | ty limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation & ponding | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ess than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish habitat func | tion is impacted or degrade | d | | | |
| | Less than 25% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qualit | ty Limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Stream is in a natural channel | Adjacent land is mos | stly developed | | | |
| 并为安护官 | <10% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout pr | resent in stream | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-qu | ality function is intact | 国旗广东城市镇地区 中华 | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is mos | stly developed | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stream | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation & pondin | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Agriculture downslo | pe of wetland | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslop | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | 用的电影性影响 | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developmen | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | on & ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high er | nhancement potential | 以次等6月 東海州人社 | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0 | .5 and 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is le | ess than 10% | | | |
| 是 就是我就 | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | |
| 指摘服 是禁止。 | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited m | obility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opportu | ınities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlif | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is a | llowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered t | o be moderately pleasing | "我们是我的 " | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by | landscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors preser | nt at wetland | | | |
| 明 | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural i | noises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI We | tland: | CC-7C |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | PFO/PSS | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acr | es): | 1.30 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | | PF/CR |
| Wetland Location: | We | 1, 3, | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | POSTA A RESERVE AND | Fish Habitat | | English Control | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | B | |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | (| |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | E | |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | _ | - 10. | 1 | | | |
| O-9A | | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish Habitat Wetland's fish habitat function is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is intact | | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T | Enhancement Potential | | tion | Recreation | | Recreation | | Aestheti Quality | |
|--|--------------------------|-----|------|------------|---|------------|---|---------------------|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | | |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | | |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | B | | |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | | |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | | |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | | |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | | |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland has the potential to provide recreational activities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-7C | | | | | |
|-------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.30 | | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PFO/PSS | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | 第四次高级数据 | | | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qua | lity limited streams | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land use is primarily agricultu | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is | less than 10% | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish habitat funct | tion is impacted or degrad | ed Million III | | | | | |
| | Less than 25% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qual | ity Limited streams | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Only portions of stream are modified | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | | | | |
| | 10-25% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout p | present in stream | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-qua | ality function is intact | (15)(2)(15)(15)(15)(15)(15)(15)(15)(15)(15)(15 | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | n to other wetlands | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land use is pr | marily agriculture | | | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited strea | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woo | dy vegetation | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Agriculture downsl | ope of wetland | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| Jan Barrade | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land use is primarily agric | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily development | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woo | dy vegetation | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | hancement potential | TO SEE STREET, SEALING | | | | | |
| 212 | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0.5 and 5 acre | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is less than 10% | | | | | | |
| 经条件 有利 | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potentia | al for educational use | 類的形式下 经现代 的。 | | | | | |
| | Wetland is open to the public | Unmaintained public acc | ess within 250 feet | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is limited mo | bility accessible | | | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has the potential to p | provide recreational activi | ties | | | | | |
| | Unmaintained public access within 250 feet | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is conside | ered to be pleasing | | | | | | |
| | More than two Cowardin classes are visible | Wetland surrounded by | landscaped areas | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | | | |
| Rationale. | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen No visual detractors are present | Natural odors prese Some traffic and natural | | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetlan | d: CC-8 | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.26 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | SE/PF | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Toliver Road, east of old railroad bed | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | ł | Q-6 | \boldsymbol{C} | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | 7 | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 Q-9A | C | | | | - 3 | | 11. | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potenti | | Educa | tion | Recreation | | Aesthetic Quality | |
|---------------------|------------------|-------|------|------------|---|----------------------|--------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | - C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | Dis a | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | \mathbf{C} | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | \mathbf{C} |
| Q-5B | \boldsymbol{C} | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-8 | | |
|------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.26 | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | nt for some wildlife species | AMERICA DE MARIA PAR | | |
| Control of the Section | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quali | ty limited streams | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ess than 10% | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 是是16.200m/63/\$P\$(15) | | |
| | | and Charles and Charles | | | |
| Rationale: | | | 100 Carlos | | |
| | objective is the Nation | auch and to delt the | R. FLIN'S | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | ed that is the constant. | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stre | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Agriculture downslo | pe of wetland | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope of wetlan | | | |
| • Result: | Wetland is potentially so | ensitive to future impacts | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developme | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | otential for enhancement | 经 国建立企业的基本 | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less that | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is less than 10% | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited m | obility accessible | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | The state of the s | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opporti | ınities | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | ıllowed | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not aes | sthetically pleasing | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded b | y development | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors preser | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and na | tural noises occur | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla LWI | | tland: | CC-9A | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/20/01 | Approx. Area (acı | res): | 0.44 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes Investigator(s): | | PF/CR | | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Toliver Road, north of Heintz and east of Ridings | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|---------|------------------|---|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | 10.7 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 15 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | Same of | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | | . 11 | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | | | Recrea | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----|---|--------|------|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | 2100 N | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | \mathbf{C} | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-9A | | | | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.44 | | | | | | |
| Date: | 4/20/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | it for some wildlife species | 少(成)的(本)是我等的 | | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is less than 10% | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 学出来企业中的 | | | | | | |
| Detionals | | The section of the se | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | ed with the last of the last o | | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | ····· | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Qualit | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | 的数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据数据 | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetatio | n, no ponding | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Development downslo | ope of wetland | | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developn | | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetatio | n, no ponding | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | otential for enhancement | grand beorge at the bas | | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less that | n 0.5 acres | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is less than 10% | | | | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | | | |
| Result: | | priate for educational use | 學的學家的問題 | | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited me | obility accessible | | | | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | Territory A. C. | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or do | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consider | ered to be pleasing | | | | | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by l | andscaped areas | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors preser | | | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural r | noises are present | | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | CC-9B | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/20/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.02 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | PF/CR | | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Toliver Road, north of Heintz and east of Ridings | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|--------|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | - | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | 1 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | - MINA | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | _ | | | | |
| 2-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is intact |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control function is intact |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | | | hetic ality | |
|----------------------|------------------|-------|------|-----|---|----------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | \boldsymbol{C} | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | B | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement |
|-----------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-9B | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.02 | | | | | |
| Date: | 4/20/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | nt for some wildlife species | 数据编数数 | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | | | | |
| | | La de la timpa Las | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Lander Commence of the Commenc | State And State St | SECTION . | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-qua | ality function is intact | | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | | |
| 计算数据数 图 | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited strea | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic of | control function is intact | 经联制的基本 的对 | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Development downslo | pe of wetland | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily development | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woody | y vegetation | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | otential for enhancement | 的种种品种种种 | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less than | n 0.5 acres | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is betwee | | | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | 对影片 的新兴度 | | | | | |
| 7.7 | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | | | |
| 247.2167.000 | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | | | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | | |
| CALL DE LA SEX | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | | | | |
| Result: | | ered to be pleasing | | | | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by la | andscaped areas | | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present at wetland | | | | | | |
| PENERGE | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetl | Wetland: | |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------|-------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s | | e(s): PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/20/01 | Approx. Area (acre | es): | 1.15 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No Investigator(s): | | 120 T | PF/CR |
| Wetland Location: | South of Toliver Road, north of Heintz, at end of Pegasus Cour | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | | | | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | | |
|-----------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|---------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | - | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | 100 | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | _ (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | $-\mathbf{B}$ |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is intact |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| | Enhancement Potential | | Education | | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|------|--------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|------|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-9C | | | |
|--------------|---|---|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.15 | | | |
| Date: | 4/20/01 | Wetland Types(s): PEM | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | 的成功。例為於原創學 | | | |
| 一种的基础 | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Qualit | y limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation & ponding | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | | |
| Rationale: | Printed No. 1997 | raye as A collectic Protection | in hard in gydd | | | |
| | so the Carolina Heat Williams | Jen J. Jenishice | 38.3(1) | | | |
| Result: | | ality function is intact | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is most | | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | | | |
| Result: | | rol is impacted or degraded | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation & ponding | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | pe of wetland | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Development upslope of v | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly de | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primar | ily development | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation & ponding | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | ootential for enhancement | · 在自己的 中国 自己 | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | V/ | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | lowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by la | andscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | t at wetland | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetl | and: CC-10 | | |
|------------------------|---|--------------------|------------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acre | es): 0.52 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No Investigator(s): | | PF/SE | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Main Street, east of Cole Street | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | | Fish Habitat | | | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|----------------|---|-----------------|----|-----|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | - | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 4 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | -1 | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | Α | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | - |
| Q-8 | C | | | | _ | | | | |
| D-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | |
|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | |
| Sensitivity to Impact Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| | | | hancement Education Potential | | Recrea | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|------|------|-----|-------------------------------|-----|--------|------|----------------------|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | |
| Q-3 | 11/4 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B | |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Education | | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-10 | | | | |
|------------------|---|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.52 | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | | | | | |
| The Medicular in | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quali | ty limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mos | stly developed | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ess than 10% | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | Visit I | | | | |
| ivationaic. | | | - And the second | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | ed and a whole had | | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Isolated from other | er wetlands | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited str | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | | | | |
| 家 在北京教育 | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation, no pondi | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslo | ope of wetland | | | | |
| | Flow out of wetland is restricted | Development upslop | e of wetland | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily development | | | | | |
| 1. 国际的条件。 | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetatio | n, no ponding | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | ootential for enhancement | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less that | n 0.5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is less than 10% | | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | | priate for educational use | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is limited mob | oility accessible | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is a | llowed | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | andscaped areas | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors preser | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and na | tural noises occur | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetl | and: CC-11 |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s) | | PEM |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acre | s): 0.27 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF/SE |
| Wetland Location: | West of rai | lroad tracks, south of H | eintz Street |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Sensitivity to Impact | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Q | A |
| Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | C |
| | |
| 14-1 | |
| | Q-4 Q-5 Q-6 |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | cation Recreation Aesthet Qualit | | | | |
|----------------------|-----|-------|----------------------------------|-----|---|--------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | Α | \mathbf{Q} | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | С | Q-1 | С | Q-1 | С |
| Q-2 | - C | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | CC-11 | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.27 | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | | | | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quali | ty limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ess than 10% | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | Zarta | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | tion is impacted or degrad | ed | | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Isolated from other | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is mos | INC. TO AND DESCRIPTION HOS | | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | The state of the s | | | | | |
| Result: | | rol is impacted or degraded | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no po | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Development downslope of wetland | | | | | | |
| | Flow out of wetland is restricted | Development upslop | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | |
| 张生为遗类为 | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is prima | | | | | |
| 4. 大人的电话 | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetatio | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less that | n 0.5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is le | ss than 10% | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited me | | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or do | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | llowed | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not aes | thetically pleasing | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | y development | | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present at wetland | | | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Some traffic and natural r | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland | BC-1A | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 5/1/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 13.92 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes Investigator(s): | | PF, SE | |
| Wetland Location: | North o | of Hwy 211, west of Hwy. 2 | 213 | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Wat Qual | CULTURE CONTRACTOR | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|------|-----------------|-------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | С | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | - | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | 21/24 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | A | Q-4 | 1.7 | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 1100 | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | B |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | | | | - | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | 1700 | | | | | | | | |
| 0 00 | 1966 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|--------------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|--------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | \mathbf{C} |
| Q-6 | B | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland provides recreational opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-1A | | |
|------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 13.92 | | |
| Date: | 5/1/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | it for some wildlife species | y (5-1874年) (4-1976) (4-1976) | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Qualit | y limited streams | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is prim | narily agriculture | | |
| | Greater than 1 acre of open water | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | |
| Rationale: | | | male and | | |
| | Primarile Court S. Court S. Land | | C. H. March 1997 | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrade | ed | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland is more than: | The state of the s | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland does not flood or pond | Adjacent land use is prim | | | |
| 机设置电路管理 E | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | CONTRACTOR SEA | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland does not flood or pond | Agriculture downslop | e of wetland | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslop | e of wetland | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially so | ensitive to future impacts | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prim | arily agriculture | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prima | primarily agriculture | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high er | hancement potential | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is greater the | han 5 acres | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is les | s than 10% | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potenti | al for educational use | | | |
| | Wetland access by landowner permission | Maintained public access | within 250 feet | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides rec | reational opportunities | | | |
| | Maintained public access within 250 feet | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | lowed | | |
| | Maintained trails, viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not aes | sthetically pleasing | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by la | andscaped areas | | |
| Rationale: | Less than 25% of wetland can be seen | Unpleasent odors are a | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Continuous traffic and nat | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-1B | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PFO, PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 5/1/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 4.44 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Partial | Investigator(s): | PF, SE | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Hwy 211, west of Hwy. 213 | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | SPECIAL PROPERTY. | Fish Habita | ıt | Wat Qual | DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN | Hydrol Conti | ACCUMENTS OF FREE | Sensiti to Imp | |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|----|-------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | | _ | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides diverse wildlife habitat |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is intact |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is intact |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|--------------------------|-------|------|--------|------------------|---------------|---|
| \mathbf{Q} | Q | A | Q | \mathbf{A}_{i} | Q | A |
| Q-1 | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5B | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В |
| Q-6 | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, this wetland cannot be enhanced | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-1B | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 4.44 | | | |
| 5/1/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO, PEM | | | |
| Wetland provides d | iverse wildlife habitat | OPERATOR PROSPERSOR SERVICE | | | |
| More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qua | lity limited streams | | | |
| Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land use is pr | rimarily agriculture | | | |
| Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is g | reater than 40% | | | |
| Wetland's fish hab | itat function is intact | (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) | | | |
| 50% or more of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qua | lity Limited streams | | | |
| Stream is in a natural channel | Adjacent land use is pr | rimarily agriculture | | | |
| <10% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout | present in stream | | | |
| Wetland's water-qu | ality function is intact | AND REPORT OF THE PROPERTY. | | | |
| Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | on to other wetlands | | | |
| Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land use is pr | rimarily agriculture | | | |
| High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | | | |
| Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degrade | ed | | | |
| Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Development upslope of | | | | | |
| Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | 16度至3個領域第二個公司 | | | |
| Stream not modified | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | | |
| Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily development | | | | |
| No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | | |
| Due to diverse wildlife habitat, | this wetland cannot be enl | nanced | | | |
| Lakerge: helongreen began eidenel en d | ante de La dicima de | AND DEED | | | |
| 원이 : 제대 및 전투 기를 받는 시간이 가능한 | est petitions is | 5 T-1862 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | |
| No access allowed to wetland | No access point to | | | | |
| 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited in | mobility accessible | | | |
| Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | | |
| Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. oppor | tunities | | | |
| No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides diver | rse wildlife habitat | | | |
| No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is | allowed | | | |
| No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is | allowed | | | |
| Wetland is consid | | | | | |
| More than two Cowardin classes are visible | Wetland surrounded | by natural areas | | | |
| 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Unpleasant odors are p | | | | |
| No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natura | | | | |
| | Clackamas County 5/1/01 Wetland provides d More than one Cowardin class Dominated by woody vegetation Less than 0.5 acres of open water Wetland's fish hab 50% or more of stream is shaded Stream is in a natural channel <10% of stream has instream structures Wetland's water-quants Primary water source is surface flow Wetland floods/ponds in growing season High wetland vegetation cover Wetland's hydrologic cont Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Wetland is potentially so Stream not modified Water not taken out No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Due to diverse wildlife habitat, Wetland is not appropriate or do No access allowed to wetland 1 or 2 visible safety hazards Other habitats can be observed not accessed Wetland is not appropriate or do No access point to wetland exists No boat launching can be developed No trails or viewing areas exist Wetland is conside More than two Cowardin classes are visible 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Clackamas County 5/1/01 Wetland Types(s): No adjacent Water Qua Adjacent land use is pr Wetland's fish habitat function is intact So% or more of stream is shaded Stream is in a natural channel Adjacent Water Qua Stream has instream structures Adjacent land use is pr Salmon and/or trout Wetland's water-quality function is intact Primary water source is surface flow Wetland floods/ponds in growing season High wetland vegetation cover Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream not modified Adjacent land use is pr Water not taken out No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Due to diverse wildlife habitat, this wetland cannot be enl Wetland is not appropriate for educational use No access allowed to wetland No access point to Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. oppor No access point to wetland exists No boat launching can be developed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is Wetland surrounded Unpleasant odors are Unpleasant odors are | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetla | nd: BC-1C | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PFO, PEM | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 6/6/01 | Approx. Area (acres) | 0.38 | | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Partial | Investigator(s): | PF, SE | | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Hwy 211, west of Hwy. 213 | | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | SECTION AND DESCRIPTION | Fish Habitat | | Wat Qual | 2012/09/72/25 | Hydrol Conti | STATE OF THE PARTY | Sensiti to Imp | The state of the s |
|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----|-------------|---------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | 100 | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | ·B | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 1 | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | 17 613 | | | |
| Q-9A | - 0 | | | | | | | | |
| OOD | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides diverse wildlife habitat |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancem Potentia | | Educa | tion | THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER. | | | hetic ality | |
|----------------------|------|-------|------|--|---|-----|----------------|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | |
| Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | |
| Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | |
| Q-3 | 1141 | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | |
| Q-4 | 11.3 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | A | |
| Q-5B | | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | |
| Q-6 | | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | B | |

| Enhancement Potential | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, this wetland cannot be enhanced | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-1C | | | |
|-------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.38 | | | |
| Date: | 6/6/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO, PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides d | liverse wildlife habitat | make all have thinked | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qual | ity limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land use is pri | marily agriculture | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is gro | eater than 40% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | | | | |
| Rationale: | L SWING FOR LIVERY TWO PARKS BELL | Approximation of the | Anna Property | | | |
| 一种公共 | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is prin | | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic con | trol is impacted or degraded | Herman Market Comment | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | | |
| Rationale: | DOUBLE OF THE STATE OF THE STAT | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslo | pe of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | marily agriculture | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily development | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | | |
| Result: | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, | this wetland cannot be enha | anced | | | |
| | tabargab so bompont is temporable of description | thelativ Pathysian Opini | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dedunian Philipsum Ratherina | 1 12 Page 101 At | 100-126-1 | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | opriate for educational use | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to v | vetland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited m | obility accessible | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opport | unities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | e wildlife habitat | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is a | illowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is | allowed | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded b | y natural areas | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Unpleasant odors are pr | LICE CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and na | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetlan | d: BC-2A | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/18/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.05 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes Investigator(s): | | SE/FS | | |
| Wetland Location: | Along the banks of Bear Creek, east of Highway 213 | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Wat Qual | | Hydrol Conti | AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED | Sensiti to Imp | FROM SHIP AND |
|---------------------|------|-----------------|---|-------------|---|-----------------|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | C | | |
| Q-8 | A | | | | | 100 | | | |
| Q-9A | 12.5 | | | | | | | | |
| 0.00 | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides diverse wildlife habitat | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is intact | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | Education | | Recrea | tion | Aesthetic Quality | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|---|--------|------|----------------------|---|--|
| QA | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | |
| Q-1 | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | |
| Q-2 | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В | |
| Q-3 | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | |
| Q-4 | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | |
| Q-5B | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | |
| Q-6 | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | |

| Enhancement Potential | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, this wetland cannot be enhanced |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-2A | | |
|-------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.05 | | |
| Date: | 4/18/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides d | iverse wildlife habitat | 在14.4.4.6.6.2.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1 | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quali | ty limited streams | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is prima | rily open space | | |
| | Between 0.5 - 1 acre of open water | Wetland buffer is gre | ater than 40% | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish hab | itat function is intact | | | |
| Marketa 2 | 25-50% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qualit | y Limited streams | | |
| Rationale: | Stream is in a natural channel | Adjacent land is prima | rily open space | | |
| | <10% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout pr | esent in stream | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | ed | | |
| navauta 5 a | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is prima | rily open space | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Qualit | y Limited streams | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | | | |
| 4264822 | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | oe of wetland | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Open space upslope | of wetland | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | rily open space | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily development | | | |
| 当 | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | |
| Result: | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, | this wetland cannot be enha | nced | | |
| | There is the an instantion to pasted a distillulation; | | made El | | |
| Rationale: | agree in restours than it yet | The state of the s | Litares Pari | | |
| | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited me | obility accessible | | |
| gwa wa 44 | No access or observation of other habitats | 1.0 | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides diverse | e wildlife habitat | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered t | o be moderately pleasing | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by l | andscaped areas | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural r | noises are present | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetlan | nd: BC-2B | | |
|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | y Wetland Type(s): PFO | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/18/01 | Approx. Area (acres) | 0.83 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | SE/FS | | |
| Wetland Location: | Along the banks of Bear Creek, west of Molalla Forest Road. | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Wat Qual | STATUTE CO. | Hydrol Cont | | Sensiti to Imp | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|---|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | \boldsymbol{C} | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | C | | |
| Q-8 | A | | | | | 107 | TV C | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat Wetland provides diverse wildlife habitat | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is intact | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potenti | | Educa | tion | Recreation | | Aesthetic Quality | |
|---------------------|------------|-------|------|------------|---|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C |
| Q-3 | Special | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | , libraria | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, this wetland cannot be enhanced |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-2B | | | |
|--------------|---|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.83 | | | |
| Date: | 4/18/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides d | liverse wildlife habitat | 有用的数据的看题 | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is primarily open space | | | | |
| | Between 0.5 - 1 acre of open water | Wetland buffer is gr | eater than 40% | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish hab | bitat function is intact | | | | |
| inglation in | 25-50% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stre | | | | |
| Rationale: | Stream is in a natural channel | Adjacent land is prim | arily open space | | | |
| 102 | <10% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout p | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | led | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | n to other wetlands | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is prima | arily open space | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stre | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | trol is impacted or degrade | | | | |
| 企业工作的 | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | e if wetland floods or ponds Open space downslope of develo | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Open space upslop | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is primarily open s | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developm | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by wood | | | | |
| Result: | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, | this wetland cannot be enh | anced | | | |
| ALCOHOL: | hope area to paradial activitates and respect to | mit A jeflelijanist | and the second | | | |
| Rationale: | Staple 10 PU III. Shalada da | anti-et linguille y | PERMIT | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | Here Park Street | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to v | vetland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited m | nobility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opport | unities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides divers | se wildlife habitat | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | ing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered t | o be moderately pleasing | 阿里尔西斯特里 | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by | landscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | Less than 25% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors prese | nt at wetland | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L | WI Wetlan | d: BC-3A | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.33 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No Investigator(s): | | FS/SE | |
| Wetland Location: | Southeast of the corner of Highway 213 and Toliver Road. | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Hydrologic Quality Control | | Sensiti to Imp | 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|-----|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|---|-----|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | Α | Q | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | E |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | 4 1 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | 1 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | 100 | | | _ | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | 11 11 11 | 100 | | |
| AQ.C | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | | |
| Water Quality Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Education | | Recreation | | Aesth Qual | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------|---|------------|---|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | \boldsymbol{C} | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-3A | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.33 | | | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | nt for some wildlife species | | | | | | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Qual | ity limited streams | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mo | | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is betw | een 10% and 40% | | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | nikur vistenski jakiki | | | | | | |
| | | | - Samound | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Contention of the Date of | 1.7%(S#178W, 1378) | and The Fe | | | | | | |
| | hazakitaki in ^{se} jelik Cima, Mada se | 新色是是其一种的 | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | | | | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | n to other wetlands | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is mo | | | | | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited str | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation, no pondi | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Development downslope of | | | | | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | d Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | | |
| Result: | | ensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prim | | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | on, no ponding | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high er | hancement potential | | | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less th | an 0.5 acres | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is between | | | | | | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to | o future impacts | | | | | | |
| Result: | | priate for educational use | 经存在的产生性的 原则是 | | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to v | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited n | nobility accessible | | | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | | | | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habita | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is | allowed | | | | | | |
| AND WEST | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is | allowed | | | | | | |
| Result: | | sthetically pleasing | | | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | landscaped areas | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors prese | | | | | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Continuous traffic and na | atural noises occur | | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | Molalla LWI Wetland: | | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | k: 4/18/01 Approx. Area (acres): | | es): 1.01 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | FS/SE | | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Highway 213, north of Bear Creek. | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | | | | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | | |
|-----------------|-------|-----|----------|-----|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|-----|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | Print of | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 7.1 | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | 7) | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | - | | | | |
| Q-9A | 170.7 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Education | | Recreation | | Aesth Qual | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------|---|------------|---|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | B |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-3B | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.01 | | | |
| Date: | 4/18/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | it for some wildlife species | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quali | ty limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Adjacent land use is primarily agricult | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ss than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | "是可以的规则为是 "。 | | | |
| | 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | 1. 1.00 | | | |
| DV | WA II A P. C | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Surface water connection | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is prin | | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Qualit | | | | |
| Result: | | rol is impacted or degraded | | | | |
| _ | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no p | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Agriculture downslo | | | | |
| 45 40 19 6 | e of wetland | | | | | |
| Result: | | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land use is primaril | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is prima | rily development | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetatio | n, no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | otential for enhancement | 网络斯里斯斯斯 | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0 | .5 and 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is le | ss than 10% | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited me | obility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or do | es not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wild | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | | be moderately pleasing | | | | |
| Biological States of the second | | | and a san ad arrang | | | |
| Rationale | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by 1 | andscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | One Cowardin class is visible 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI | etland: | BC-4 |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------|------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | PEM |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | | 1.82 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No Investigator(s): PF. | | PF/SE | |
| Wetland Location: | Southeast of the corner of Toliver Road and Industrial Way | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | STATES OF THE | Fish Habita | t | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Q-9A | 100 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Education | | Recrea | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|--------|------|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В |
| Q-4 | \boldsymbol{C} | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | \mathbf{C} | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunitie | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-4 | | | |
|---------------|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.82 | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | "我们们们们 " | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality | limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is les | s than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | | |
| Rationale: | | 71) 10.77 (10.7) (10.11) (10.11) | Av. Ar. | | | |
| EVIOLOGICA | The State State State Contract Contract | Control States | SHE IN THE | | | |
| Result: | | ction is impacted or degrade | | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Isolated from other | 13913874024759607.00394254052.0709 | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is mostl | | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | Limited streams | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | 经股份的 有关的。 | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Development downslop | oe of wetland | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope | of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mostl | y developed | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primar | ily development | | | |
| 本中世界 | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | otential for enhancement | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less than | 0.5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is less | s than 10% | | | |
| a designation | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to f | uture impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | tland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | 1 1 X 1 1 0 1 | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opportun | ities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for | or some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not ae | sthetically pleasing | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | development | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | at wetland | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can be removed | Continuous traffic and natu | ıral noises occur | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI We | tland: | BC-5A | |
|------------------------|--|-------------------|---------|-------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s) | | PEM/PSS | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/18/01 | Approx. Area (acr | es): | 1.00 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes Investigator(s): | | FS/SE | | |
| Wetland Location: | West of Molalla Forest Road, South of Toliver Road | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habita | ıt | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|------|----------------|-------|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | W 100 | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 1 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | | | 7 | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | 1777 | | | | | | | | |
| OOD | D | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Market San | Enhancement Potential | | Education | | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|--|--------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|------|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | 3.14 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | B |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-5A | | |
|----------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.00 | | |
| Date: | 4/18/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM/PSS | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | e Pricion No. 146.00 | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quali | ty limited streams | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is prir | narily agriculture | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | |
| D | AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY OF | THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P | | | |
| Rationale: | | | and the second | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | ed | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land use is prin | narily agriculture | | |
| | Low vegetation cover No adjacent Water Quality Limited | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | And the second | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Development downslope of wetland floods/ponds in growing season | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslop | e of wetland | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prin | narily agriculture | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is prima | rily development | | |
| HEALTH STATE | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetatio | n, no ponding | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | nhancement potential | [[基本]] [[基x]] [[ax]] [| | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0 | .5 and 5 acres | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | |
| decidad vicini | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | 在3.00K/3.40P/3.35X | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited m | obility accessible | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | 100 18 000 | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is a | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered t | o be moderately pleasing | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by | y development | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors preser | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and na | tural noises occur | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wet | land: BC- | -5B |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | n _y B |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/18/01 | Approx. Area (acr | es): 3.6 | 68 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | FS/SE | |
| Wetland Location: | West of Molal | la Forest Road, South | of Toliver Road | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habita | ıt | Water Quality | | Hydrol Cont | THE RESERVE | Sensiti to Imp | Salar Sa |
|---------------------|-----|----------------|----|------------------|---|----------------|-------------|-------------------|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 7 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | E |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | - | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| OOD | n | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|---------|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | W. Call | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation . | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-5B | | | |
|--------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 3.68 | | | |
| Date: | 4/18/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | Strike was a particular | | | |
| 铁路等的 | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qualit | y limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is prim | arily agriculture | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 东唐枫游 语不够知识 | | | |
| Rationale: | | | tradical to a | | | |
| | | | (=7/158E=VL) | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Surface water connection | green with the same and the sam | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land use is prim | | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | Limited streams | | | |
| Result: | | rol is impacted or degraded | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | | | | | |
| GNEHAG JAHAR | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | | e of wetland | | | |
| Result: | | ensitive to future impacts | The Market Control | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prim | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primar | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | |
| Result: | | hancement potential | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is betwee | n 10% and 40% | | | |
| Sold Care | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | Hambers Called | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat f | for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | lowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered t | o be moderately pleasing | | | | |
| F1 124 1 | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by la | andscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | at wetland | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and nat | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wet | land: BC-6A |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PFO |
| Date(s) of field work: | 6/6/01 | Approx. Area (acr | es): 1.11 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | PF/SE |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molal | la Forest Road, south of | of Toliver Road |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Hydrologic Quality Control | | | Sensiti to Imp | | |
|---------------------|-----|-----------------|-----|----------------------------------|---|-----|-------------------|-----|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | - | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 100 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | 4 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | 770 | | | | | | | | |
| 0 00 | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control function is intact |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Education | | Recreation | | Aesth Qual | ity | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------|---|------------|---|---------------|-----|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В | |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | |
| Q-5B | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|--|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland has the potential to provide recreational activities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-6A | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.11 | | | | |
| Date: | 6/6/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | | | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is grea | iter than 40% | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | Standard - N. Const. | | | | |
| Rationale: | 17 Granding Times | TOUR CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | Limited streams | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic control function is intact | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woody | vegetation | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Development downslo | pe of wetland | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | 200000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primar | ily development | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woody | vegetation | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | nhancement potential | | | | | |
| [27] [39] 西 | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | 5 and 5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is grea | ter than 40% | | | | |
| All All All All | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potenti | al for educational use | | | | | |
| | Wetland access by landowner permission | Unmaintained public access within 250 | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has the potential to | provide recreational activitie | es | | | | |
| | Unmaintained public access within 250 feet | Wetland provides habitat f | for some wildlife | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed | | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | | | | | |
| | More than two Cowardin classes are visible | Wetland surrounded by la | andscaped areas | | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural ne | oises are present | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland | BC-6B | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 6/6/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.40 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes Investigator(s): | | PF/SE | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molalla Forest Road, south of Toliver Road | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Hydrologic Quality Control | | THE SEAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|-------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | - 1 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 17.7 | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 10.00 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | Α | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | _ | | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |
| OOD | n | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | | |
|----------------------|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|-----|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | min. | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

Resulte

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-6B | | | |
|------------|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.40 | | | |
| Date: | 6/6/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | Participant Constitution | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Qual | ity limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | een 10% and 40% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | (在来)有关。 这 为 | | | |
| Rationale: | ON THE WAY TO THE PARTY OF THE | tercon as antistion 2 but | 1. 71 as a . 11 f | | | |
| Rationale: | | | TANGER OF THE | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | led | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Isolated from oth | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is mos | stly developed | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited strea | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | d organization | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | on, no ponding | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslope of wetland | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope of wetland | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mos | stly developed | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prima | arily development | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | on, no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | hancement potential | 為深刻和開始的 | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between (| 0.5 and 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is between | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | o future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potenti | al for educational use | Control of the State | | | |
| | Wetland access by landowner permission | No access point to v | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited m | nobility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | FQ 17 10 | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | | |
| Result: | | o be moderately pleasing | | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded b | y development | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors prese | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present Some traffic and natural noises are prese | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetla | nd: BC-7 | |
|------------------------|--|----------------------|----------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/20/01 | Approx. Area (acres) | 0.24 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes Investigator(s): | | PF/CR | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Toliver Road, south of elementary school, east of C | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habita | SCHOOL STATE OF | Fish Habita | ıt | Wat Qual | | Hydrol Cont | DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN | Sensiti to Imp | INCHES THE |
|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|---|----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | 150 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | В |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | - 7 | | | |
| Q-9A | 11/11/10 | | | | | | | | |
| 0-9B | C | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| = 0.00 | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement |
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland has the potential to provide recreational activities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-7 | | | | |
|----------------|--|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.24 | | | | |
| Date: | 4/20/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habit | at for some wildlife species | 建设加州的 美格士(1) | | | | |
| 计算程序 | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation & ponding | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | growth the strategy | | | | |
| Rationale: | The state of the s | merce Familian Com | double . | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrade | d | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited strea | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | William Hilliam | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | floodplain Herbaceous vegetation & p | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Development downslope of wetland | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope | e of wetland | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developmen | | | | | |
| 以数据数据数据 | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | n & ponding | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | ootential for enhancement | 建筑域 市总量的2040年10 | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | 5 and 5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is les | s than 10% | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potenti | al for educational use | 数据数据 | | | | |
| | Wetland access by landowner permission | Maintained public access | within 250 feet | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is limited mobi | lity accessible | | | | |
| | Public access to other habitats exist | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has the potential to | provide recreational activitie | es | | | | |
| all to be | Maintained public access within 250 feet | Wetland provides habitat f | or some wildlife | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | |
| | Unmaintained trails, viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not ae | sthetically pleasing | 的现在分词是一个一个 | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by la | indscaped areas | | | | |
| Rationale: | Less than 25% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | at wetland | | | | |
| 进行进行基础 | Visual detractors present, can be removed | Some traffic and natural no | oises are present | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | Molalla LWI Wetland | | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM, POWx | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/20/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.18 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes Investigator(s): | | PF/CR | | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Toliver Road, south of elementary school, east of C | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | ENGINEERING TOP | Fish Habitat | | Wat Qual | COURT VEHICLE | Hydrol Cont | CONTRACTOR DESIGNATION | Sensiti to Imp | CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE P |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | В |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | - 1 04 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is impacted or degraded |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potenti | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|---------------------|----------|-------|------|--------|--------------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{Q} | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | defect ? | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland provides recreational opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing |



| Result: Wetland has potential for educational use Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet Public access to other habitats exist Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas | Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-8 | | | | |
|--|----------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Result: More than one Cowardin class No adjacent Water Quality limited streams Herbaceous vegetation & ponding Less than 0.5 acres of open water Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Result: Wetland's fish habitat function is impacted or degraded Less than 25% of stream is shaded No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Adjacent land is mostly developed Wermwater fish present in stream Wetland's mater quality function is impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Result: Wetland's hoderale vegetation cover Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded Moderate vegetation cover Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Minor restrictions slow down the water Development downslope of wetland Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland Water is taken out or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed Water is taken out or isolated wetland No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Development upslope of wetland Water is taken out or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed Water is taken out or isolated wetland No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Water low is permanently restricted Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Perbaceous vegetation & ponding Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is less than 0.5 acres Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is less than 0.5 acres Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Wetland is not limited mobility accessible Public access to other habitats exist Wetland provides recreational opportunities Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launch | Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.18 | | | | |
| More than one Cowardin class No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | Date: | 4/20/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM, POWx | | | | |
| Result: Herbaceous vegetation & ponding | Result: | Wetland provides habita | it for some wildlife species | (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) | | | | |
| Less than 0.5 acres of open water Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% | 产业的特性 。 | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | |
| Result: | Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation & ponding | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed | | | | |
| Rationale: Class than 25% of stream is shaded Only portions of stream are modified Adjacent land is mostly developed Warmwater fish present in stream Result: Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Rationale: Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Adjacent land is mostly developed Moderate vegetation cover No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Result: Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Retionale: Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Development downslope of wetland Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed Water is taken out or isolated wetland No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Result: Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is less than 0.5 acres Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland has potential for educational use Wetland has potential for educational use Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed No fishing is allowed Maintained trials, viewing areas exist No hounting is allowed Maintained trials, viewing areas exist No hounting is allowed No hunting is allowed No fishing is allowed Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Nationale: | | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is betw | een 10% and 40% | | | | |
| Result: Wetland's mostly developed Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Rationale: Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Result: Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Rationale: Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Result: Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Rationale: Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Minor restrictions slow down the water Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed Wetland or wetland Development upslope of wetland Minor restrictions slow down the water Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed Water is taken out or isolated wetland No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Result: Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is less than 0.5 acres Rationale: Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet Rationale: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed Maintained rails, viewing areas exist No honting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Rationale: Soow of wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | Wetland's fish habitat func | tion is impacted or degrad | ed | | | | |
| Sesuit: Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | Less than 25% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qual | ity Limited streams | | | | |
| Result: Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | Rationale: | Only portions of stream are modified | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed | | | | |
| Primary water source is groundwater Isolated from other wetlands | | <10% of stream has instream structures | Warmwater fish pro | esent in stream | | | | |
| Result: Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Moderate vegetation cover No adjacent land is mostly developed No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Result: Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Minor restrictions slow down the water Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland No adjacent land is mostly developed Water is taken out or isolated wetland No adjacent water Quality Limited streams Result: Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland sis potentially sensitive to future impacts Wetland bas high enhancement potential Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is less than 0.5 acres Result: Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland has potential for educational use Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides recreational opportunities No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Rationale: Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degra | ded | | | | |
| Moderate vegetation cover No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | Primary water source is groundwater | urce is groundwater Isolated from other wetlands | | | | | |
| Result: Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation & ponding Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Development downslope of wetland Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland wetland is mostly developed Minor sprimarily development Minor water is taken out or isolated wetland Adjacent zoning is primarily development Herbaceous vegetation & ponding Metland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is less than 0.5 acres Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Wetland is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland is not limited mobility accessible Public access to other habitats exist Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed | | | | |
| Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation & ponding | | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stre | | | | | |
| Result: Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Minor restrictions slow down the water Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland No adjacent land is mostly developed Water is taken out or isolated wetland No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Result: Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland has potential for educational use Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet Public access to other habitats exist Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet No boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degrade | d American | | | | |
| Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland | | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation & pondir | | | | | |
| Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed Water is taken out or isolated wetland No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Herbaceous vegetation & ponding Result: Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is less than 0.5 acres Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland has potential for educational use Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet Rationale: 1 or 2 visible safety hazards Wetland is not limited mobility accessible Public access to other habitats exist Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife Rationale: No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Development downs | lope of wetland | | | | |
| Rationale: Stream modified or isolated wetland Water is taken out or isolated wetland No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland access by landowner permission Wetland is not limited mobility accessible Public access to other habitats exist Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides recreational opportunities Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | Section 200 | Minor restrictions slow down the water Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | |
| Result: Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Wetland has potential for educational use Wetland access by landowner permission Result: Wetland access to other habitats exist Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Maintained public access within 250 feet Maintained public access within 250 feet Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides recreational opportunities Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Water flow is permanently restricted Wetland has potential for educational use Wetland access by landowner permission Result: Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet Public access to other habitats exist Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet No boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Metland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | | | | | | | | |
| Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is less than 0.5 acres | Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is prim | arily development | | | | |
| Rationale: Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland has potential for educational use Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet Public access to other habitats exist Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet No boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetat | ion & ponding | | | | |
| Rationale: Primary water source is groundwater Water flow is permanently restricted Wetland has potentially sensitive to future impacts Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet Public access to other habitats exist Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | Wetland has high er | hancement potential | | | | | |
| Result: Wetland has potential for educational use Wetland access by landowner permission Rationale: 1 or 2 visible safety hazards Public access to other habitats exist Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less th | an 0.5 acres | | | | |
| Wetland access by landowner permission Maintained public access within 250 feet | Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40° | | | | | |
| Rationale: Rationale: 1 or 2 visible safety hazards Wetland is not limited mobility accessible | | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive t | o future impacts | | | | |
| Result: 1 or 2 visible safety hazards Public access to other habitats exist Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Mo boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No fishing is allowed No hunting is allowed Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | Wetland has potenti | al for educational use | 的战争。1878年 | | | | |
| Public access to other habitats exist Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | | Wetland access by landowner permission | Maintained public acce | ss within 250 feet | | | | |
| Result: Wetland provides recreational opportunities Maintained public access within 250 feet Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife Rationale: No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Rationale: >50% of wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited r | nobility accessible | | | | |
| Rationale: Maintained public access within 250 feet No boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | 1. 其有。明明是 2. | Public access to other habitats exist | | | | | | |
| Rationale: No boat launching can be developed Maintained trails, viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | Wetland provides reco | Wetland provides recreational opportunities | | | | | |
| Result: No hunting is allowed Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Natural odors present at wetland | | Maintained public access within 250 feet | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife | | | | |
| Result: Wetland is considered to be pleasing Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas Rationale: >50% of wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | |
| Rationale: Two Cowardin classes visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas >50% of wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | | Maintained trails, viewing areas exist | | | | | | |
| Rationale: >50% of wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | | | | | |
| 2 T. M. C. | | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by | landscaped areas | | | | |
| No visual detractors are present Some traffic and natural noises are present | Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors prese | ent at wetland | | | | |
| | | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural | noises are present | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetlan | d: BC-9 | |
|------------------------|--|----------------------|---------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 5/24/01 | Approx. Area (acres) | 0.15 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes Investigator(s): | | SE/FS | |
| Wetland Location: | West of Middle School, south of track. | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | 90年上旬8万平平。本第2 | Fish Habitat | Wat Qual | THE THREE PERSONS IN | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | Α | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | Α | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | | | |
| D-9A | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|---|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degrade | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancer Potenti | A PARTY NAMED IN COLUMN | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | Α |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI Wetland: Bo | | | | | | |
|------------|--|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.15 | | | | |
| Date: | 5/24/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | | | | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited stream | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | | | |
| Rationale: | The state of the s | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Isolated from other | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stre | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | 建筑的基本等的 | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslo | | | | | |
| A Share | Flow out of wetland is restricted | Development upslope | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily develop | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | ootential for enhancement | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less than | 0.5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is les | s than 10% | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | refusibilities and | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | U y Z TA | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opportui | nities | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat f | or some wildlife | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is all | owed | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | AND BUILDING | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by la | indscaped areas | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | at wetland | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural ne | oises are present | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla LV | WI Wetlan | d: BC-10 | | |
|------------------------|--|----------------------|----------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/20/01 | Approx. Area (acres) | 0.08 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | PF/CR | | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Toliver Road, north of middle school, west of Leroy A | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | THE REPORT OF | Fish Habita | t | Wat Qual | E 3 E 18134 | Hydrol Cont | | Sensiti to Imp | OF SHAPE PER |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------|-------------------|--------------|
| Q | A | . Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | - 1 | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | -1 | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | | W 1 7 | | |
| 0.94 | | | | | | | | | |

Results

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|---------------|-------|------|--------|----------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | $-\mathbf{C}$ | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | ugito | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement |
|------------------------------|--|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland provides recreational opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-10 | | | |
|-------------|--|---|------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.08 | | | |
| Date: | 4/20/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habit | at for some wildlife species | 新月經過 | | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | | | | |
| Rationale: | Tank bear I | Maria Talan Santa | | | | |
| Danilla | Wedley die gesche Gesch | | a | | | |
| Result: | | ection is impacted or degrade | | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Isolated from other | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stre | | | | |
| Result: | | trol is impacted or degraded | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Development downslo | | | | |
| (4) (4) (4) | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope | e of wetland | | | |
| Result: | | ensitive to future impacts | 第二世界特别的 | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primar | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate j | potential for enhancement | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less than | 0.5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is les | s than 10% | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potenti | ial for educational use | AND THE PARTY | | | |
| | Wetland access by landowner permission | Maintained public access | within 250 feet | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is limited mobi | lity accessible | | | |
| | Public access to other habitats exist | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides rec | reational opportunities | 新 阿拉克·威斯 | | | |
| | Maintained public access within 250 feet | Wetland provides habitat f | or some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | |
| | Maintained trails, viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | | | | |
| Result: | | sthetically pleasing | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by la | indscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Some traffic and natural no | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L | WI Wetla | ind: BC-11 | |
|------------------------|--|---------------------|------------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres | s): 0.23 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Hwy. 211, between Ridings and Leroy | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | North Add Street Town | Fish Habitat | | Wat Qual | March Williams Co. | Hydrol Cont | SECURIO DE LA COMPANSIONA DEL COMPANSIONA DE LA | Sensiti to Imp | SECURITION OF |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|---|-------------------|---------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | 4 | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 4 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | Arrive to | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | 1.00 | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | _ | - | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potenti: | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-11 | | | | | |
|------------|--|---|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.23 | | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habit | at for some wildlife species | | | | | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is grea | iter than 40% | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | 建 等规则指示于1980 | | | | | |
| Rationale: | The state of the s | T WHEN SHEET HOLE IN THE WORLDOWN | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ection is impacted or degrade | d | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stre | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | trol is impacted or degraded | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslo | pe of wetland | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developmen | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high e | nhancement potential | Charles and the second | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less than | 0.5 acres | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is grea | ter than 40% | | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | opriate for educational use | SEPTEMBER OF SEPTEMBER | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportui | nities | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat f | or some wildlife | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by la | andscaped areas | | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | at wetland | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI We | etland: | BC-12A | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | | PFO | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/18/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | | 0.55 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | | SE/FS | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molalla Forest Road, south of City Shops | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | | Water Quality | | ogic rol | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------|--------|-----|------------------|-----|-------------|--------------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | - 1 | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | - 1 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 275.14 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | 10 | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | - |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | LA STATE | | | | | | | | |
| OD | A | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides diverse wildlife habitat | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | | |
| Water Quality Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degrade | | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control function is intact | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | Education | | Recrea | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|--------------------------|-----------|---|--------|------|----------------------|---|
| QA | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, this wetland cannot be enhanced | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-12A | | | | | |
|------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.55 | | | | | |
| Date: | 4/18/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides of | liverse wildlife habitat | and the latest | | | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quality limited stream | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land use is primarily agricultur | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is great | ater than 40% | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not : | assessed for this wetland | 以表现是是 | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | COLOTHIC CO. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Result: | | ection is impacted or degrade | | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is prim | | | | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | Limited streams | | | | | |
| Result: | | control function is intact | 等 | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by wood: | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslo | pe of wetland | | | | | |
| | Flow out of wetland is restricted Development upslope of wetland | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prim | arily agriculture | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily development | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woody | y vegetation | | | | | |
| Result: | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, | this wetland cannot be enha | nced | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Total More, of Indiana, in the late. | DETECT 096 | offen 2 | | | | | |
| D 14 | W.A. L.Z. | | | | | | | |
| Result: | | opriate for educational use | atland suists | | | | | |
| DadiI | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public No access or observation of other habitats | Wetland is not limited mo | obility accessible | | | | | |
| DV. | | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | | The second secon | | | | | |
| _ | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides diverse wildlife habita | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | | | | | | |
| D v | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | | | | | | |
| Result: | | | | | | | | |
| D | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by la | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wet | land: | BC-12B | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/25/01, 5/24/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | | 3.34 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | | SE/FS | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molalla Forest Road, south of Molalla Schools | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | 44 Harrison 201 | | | Wat Qual | CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE | Hydrol Cont | PERSONAL PROPERTY. | Sensiti to Imp | THE PERSON NAMED |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-------|-------------|---|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | 4 55 | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | 40.1 | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 7 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | Out | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | N - 1/4 | 2.1.1 | | | Q-7 | A | | Torin. |
| Q-8 | A | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |
| O-9B | В | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-12B | | | |
|------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 3.34 | | | |
| Date: | 4/25/01, 5/24/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habit | at for some wildlife species | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited stream | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is prima | rily open space | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40% | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | | | | |
| Rationale: | AN OURSE THE STATE OF STATE OF THE STATE OF | 1500-5565 microtan yan | dolloun 1 | | | |
| | AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER | Holy Harris and | Manual Control | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | ed | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is primarily open space | | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | y Limited streams | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | trol is impacted or degraded | 16人中,15年12年16日 | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Open space downslope | of development | | | |
| | Flow out of wetland is restricted | Development upslop | e of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | | | | | |
| Rationale: | To the state of th | | rily development | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high e | nhancement potential | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | .5 and 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | |
| 1 1 WB 1 1 | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potenti | al for educational use | (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) | | | |
| 类似则不是 | Wetland access by landowner permission | No access point to w | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited me | obility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | 140 12 UU | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | llowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not ae | sthetically pleasing | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by I | andscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | Less than 25% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and nat | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetla | and: BC-13 | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|------------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | : PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/25/01 | Approx. Area (acres | s): 0.35 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Yes Investigator(s): | | | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molalla Forest Road, north of Main Street | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli | STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN | Fish Habita | t | Water Ouality | | Water Quality | | Hydrol Cont | Charles Control of the Control of th | Sensiti to Imp | NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY. |
|--------|------------------------------|----------------|-----|------------------|---|---------------|---|----------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | | |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | | |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | | |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | - 1 | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | | |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | | |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | - 1 | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | | |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | | |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | В | | | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | - | | | | | | |
| O-9A | | | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control function is lost or not present |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | | | Education Recreation | | Education | | Recreation | | Aesth Qual | |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|---|----------------------|---|-----------|---|------------|--|---------------|--|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | | | | |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | | | | |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | | | | |
| Q-3 | 3.4 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | | | | |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | | | | |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | | | | |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | B | | | | |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has little enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-13 | | | |
|--------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.35 | | | |
| Date: | 4/25/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habit | at for some wildlife species | 特别我们的 | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited stream | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is prin | arily agriculture | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is less than 10% | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | 计以为证明的图象 | | | |
| Rationale: | | | 1190.10 (2) | | | |
| Kationaic. | A SAN TO | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ection is impacted or degrade | ed . | | | |
| 1.74 | Primary water source is precipitation | Isolated from other | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land use is prim | arily agriculture | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic contro | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | ı, no ponding | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Open space downslope of | of development | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Agriculture upslope | of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | 学过少 专题可谓表示 | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prim | arily agriculture | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has little e | nhancement potential | 尼尔顿 克斯曼里斯以下 | | | |
| A CONTRACTOR | One or more functions lost/not present | Wetland is less than | 0.5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is les | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | | opriate for educational use | 可用的技术。从此外的 | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | 112 | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | lowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | lered to be pleasing | (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | natural areas | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and nat | ural noises occur | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI | Wetland: | BC-14f | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | s): | PEMf | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | | 0.16 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No Investigator(s): | |): | PF | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Hwy 211, west of Hwy. 213 | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Q A Q-1 C | QA | Q | | | rol | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|--------------------------|---|
| Q-1 C | | Z Z | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| | Q-1 | Q-1 | С | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 C | Q-2 | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 C | Q-3 | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 C | Q-4 | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5 B | Q-5 | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 B | Q-6 | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 A | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 B | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|---|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is lost or not present |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | Education | | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|----------------------|---|-------|-----------|-----|------|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | С |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | B | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-14f | | | | |
|------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.16 | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEMf | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | | | | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited stream | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is primarily agriculture | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 图 网络别群岛西部 | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality for | unction is lost or not present | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Isolated from other | wetlands | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is prim | arily agriculture | | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | Limited streams | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | 在新州地区等 | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no po | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope | e of wetland | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | CONTRACTOR | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land use is primarily a | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily developme | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | ootential for enhancement | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less than | 0.5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is les | s than 10% | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | 15 A 30 T | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | or some wildlife | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by la | indscaped areas | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | | | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can be removed | Continuous traffic and nat | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetlan | nd: BC-15 | | |
|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | e(s): PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 6/6/01 | Approx. Area (acres) | 0.80 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): PF/SE | | | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Highway 213, southwest corner of Mola | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | SERVICE DE | Fish Habita | t | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|----------------|------------|----------------|-----|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | - | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | 3.1 | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | (|
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | | - | | | Q-7 | В | | |
| Q-8 | A | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | at 10 - | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | |
| Water Quality Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degrade | | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|-----|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1. | C |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | d i | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-15 | | | |
|------------|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.80 | | | |
| Date: | 6/6/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | nt for some wildlife species | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is les | s than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | news on the same of the same | | | |
| Rationale: | | The Table 1 | | | | |
| | a commence to perfect the | 4-021 - 4-50 | r (Carre o | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | Wiles 20 - 10 CE - 10 | | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Surface water connection t | o other wetlands | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is primar | | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | Limited streams | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | The second distribution | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Open space downslope of | f development | | | |
| | Flow out of wetland is restricted | Agriculture upslope | of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially se | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is primari | ly open space | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primar | ily development | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | otential for enhancement | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0.5 and 5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is less | s than 10% | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to f | uture impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potenti | al for educational use | | | | |
| | Wetland access by landowner permission | No access point to we | tland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | 22 | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opportur | nities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat f | or some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | owed | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | lowed | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered to | o be moderately pleasing | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | natural areas | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | at wetland | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural no | oises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI | tland: | BC-16A | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type | | ype(s): PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | | 0.96 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No Investigator(s): | | | PF | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Hwy. 211, east of Hwy 213, west of Bear Creek | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER. | | Fish Habita | ıt | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|--|-------|----------------|--------|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | ma . I | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | - 1 | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | 11 0 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | P. W. | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | F |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | Time | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |
| Stronger and | 17700 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | Educa | tion | Recreation | | Aesth Qual | | |
|----------------------|-------|------|------------|-----|---------------|-----|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-16A | | | | | |
|---------------|---|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.96 | | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | nt for some wildlife species | | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is prin | narily agriculture | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ss than 10% | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | | | | |
| | 2), 10 11 1822 1512 2311 10 1813 1 2 1 2 1 10 11 | The property of the second | Danister 1 | | | | | |
| Rationale: | THE PLANTAGE AVE | 1 | evin and | | | | | |
| | Tooken As C. David Chillian | | New York Control | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | | | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is prin | | | | | | |
| 2000年 | Moderate vegetation cover No adjacent Water Quality Limi | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | | | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | e of wetland | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prim | narily agriculture | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Water not taken out Adjacent zoning is primarily develo | | | | | | |
| 中国企业主任 | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | | | | |
| Result: | | nhancement potential | 的数据数据的数据数据 | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | 5 and 5 acres | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | obility accessible | | | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | tora i a | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | lowed | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered to | o be moderately pleasing | | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by l | andscaped areas | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | | | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI | Vetland: | BC-16B | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): PEN | | PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 6/6/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | | 0.96 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes Investigator(s): | | PF/SE | | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Hwy. 211, east of Hwy 213, southwest of Bear Creel | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|-----|------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | B | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | 200 | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | В | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | _ | | | | |
| 201 | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| | Enhancement Potential | | Education | | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|------|--------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|------|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-16B | | | |
|------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.96 | | | |
| Date: | 6/6/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | it for some wildlife species | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | , no ponding Adjacent land use is primarily agriculture | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ss than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 在《西西西西西西 斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯 | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | |
| Kationale. | and the same of | The state of the s | Marcial II | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrade | ed | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is prin | narily agriculture | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | (四) (4) (4) (4) | | | |
| 12 | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Development downslope of | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Agriculture upslope | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially so | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| 的的概念 | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prin | narily agriculture | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prima | rily development | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high er | hancement potential | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | 5 and 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is less than 10% | | | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or do | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildl | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consider | ered to be pleasing | PER MINE SAME A | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by l | andscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | t at wetland | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland | l: BC-17A | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|--|-----------|--|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.96 | | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF/SE | | | |
| Wetland Location: | West of | West of Ona Road, south of Main Street | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|---------------------|--------|-----------------|---|------------------|---|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | 1 | Q-7 | C | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | | 11.3 | | |
| Q-9A | L of a | | | | | | | | |
| OD | D | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is intact | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| | Enhancement Potential | | Education | | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|------|--------------------------|-----|-----------|-----|------|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-17A | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.96 | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | THE REPORT OF | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | |
| 学。2017年 | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | een 10% and 40% | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish hab | itat function is intact | 的 的人的 | | | |
| | 50% or more of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Quali | ty Limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Stream is in a natural channel | Adjacent land is mos | stly developed | | | |
| | 10-25% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout p | resent in stream | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | led | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection to other we | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is mos | stly developed | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited st | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed to the stream of the stream o | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by wood | ly vegetation | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | nhancement potential | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between (| 0.5 and 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | 以是1000 000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | vetland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited m | obility accessible | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | 1-0 1 v 1-0 1 | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opporti | unities | | | |
| No access point to wetland exists Wetland provides habi | | for some wildlife | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered t | o be moderately pleasing | | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by | landscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | Less than 25% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | nt at wetland | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and na | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-17B | | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 5/1/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.14 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | PF/SE | | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Ona Road, south of Main Street | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | | Fish Habita | ıt | Wat Qual | | Hydrol Cont | | Sensit to Im | |
|----------------|---|----------------|----|-------------|---------------|----------------|---|-----------------|---|
| Q | A | \mathbf{Q} | Α | Q | A | Q | Α | Q | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | Ā |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | $-\mathbf{A}$ | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | Α | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | (|
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | | | - | | Q-7 | C | | |
| Q-8 | A | | | | | 7.2 | | | |
| D-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Treo witto. | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | |
| Fish Habitat Wetland's fish habitat function is intact | | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is intact | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control function is lost or not present | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|------------------|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|-----|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | - A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | - A |
| Q-3 | Α | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В |
| Q-4 | \boldsymbol{C} | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | B | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | - B | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-17B | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.14 | | | |
| Date: | 5/1/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | | | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is primarily open space | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | een 10% and 40% | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish hab | itat function is intact | 阿尔里特智能 | | | |
| | Less than 25% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Quali | ty Limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Stream is in a natural channel | Adjacent land is prima | arily open space | | | |
| | 10-25% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout present in stream | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-qu | ality function is intact | | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection to other wet | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is prima | arily open space | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited s | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic contro | l function is lost or not pres | ent de la faction de la factio | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation, no pondir | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | |
| 2.40年1月 | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Open space upslop | e of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prima | arily development | | | |
| 于5.25 进行 | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | on, no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | ootential for enhancement | | | | |
| | One or more functions lost/not present | Wetland is less than 0.5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | |
| and the suite of the second | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to v | vetland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited m | nobility accessible | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opport | unities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | 1. 为自己有益的数点。 | | | |
| r produce to produce and the second s | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by | landscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present at wetland | | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can be removed | Some traffic and natural | noises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-18 | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM, PSS | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 4/25/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.12 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | SE/FS | | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Main Street | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | THE RESERVE | Fish Habitat | | Wat Qual | THE WEST OF THE PARTY OF | Hydrol Cont | THE PARTY OF THE P | Sensiti to Imp | MERCHANIST CHE |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----|-------------|--------------------------|----------------|--|-------------------|----------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | - 1 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | C | - | |
| Q-8 | A | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | - 71 | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9B | B | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control function is lost or not present |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | In the state of the second |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|----------------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-18 | | | |
|------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.12 | | | |
| Date: | 4/25/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM, PSS | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habit | at for some wildlife species | | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quality limited stream | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is primarily open space | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | 的程序都是否是 | | | |
| Rationale: | | STATE OF THE PARTY | NAME OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fur | ection is impacted or degrad | ed | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is prima | rily open space | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Qualit | y Limited streams | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic contro | l function is lost or not pres | ent | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no p | | | | | |
| Rationale: | nale: Wetland floods/ponds in growing season Development downslope | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Open space upslope | e of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | Elife Sancial | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is primarily open | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily developmen | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetatio | n, no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate j | potential for enhancement | 为一种产品 | | | |
| | One or more functions lost/not present | Wetland is less that | n 0.5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40° | | | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | opriate for educational use | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited m | obility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | 140 // 140 | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | inities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | | o be moderately pleasing | STATE OF STATE | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by | andscaped areas | | | |
| Rationale: | Less than 25% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors preser | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and na | tural noises occur | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland | : BC-19 | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): PF | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.45 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF/SE | | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Lowe Road, east of Molalla Forest Road | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | MANAGEMENT STR | Fish Habita | ıt | Wat Qual | SCHEETS ! | Hydrol Cont | | Sensiti to Imp | \$100 March 1974 |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----|-------------|-----------|----------------|---------|-------------------|------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | $-\mathbf{c}$ |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | В | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | - | 1 | - 17 12 | | |
| Q-9A | · 101- | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat Wetland provides diverse wildlife habitat | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is intact | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|------|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | | Q-1 | С | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | - | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | Man. | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | 100 | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5B | | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, this wetland cannot be enhanced | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-19 | | |
|---|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.45 | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides d | iverse wildlife habitat | 36年,为186年至 | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qual | ity limited streams | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land use is primarily agric | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is greater than 40% | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish hab | itat function is intact | Tell 1989 年 新加拉拉 | | |
| | 50% or more of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Quali | ty Limited streams | | |
| Rationale: | Only portions of stream are modified | Adjacent land use is pri | marily agriculture | | |
| | 10-25% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout p | resent in stream | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | led | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | n to other wetlands | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Adjacent land use is primarily agr | | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited st | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degrade | d and the supplies of | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Dominated by woody vegeta | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Open space downslope of development | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Agriculture upslope of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is primarily agricu | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developme | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woo | dy vegetation | | |
| Result: | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, | this wetland cannot be enh | anced | | |
| | high type to be things to frame agricult in | | o other | | |
| Rationale: | 0.000 | 20000000 | in dag. | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to v | vetland exists | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited n | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opport | unities | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists Wetland provides diverse wildlife has | | | | |
| Rationale: No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | |
| Result: | | o be moderately pleasing | 基本的 | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded b | y natural areas | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Natural odors present at wetland Some traffic and natural noises are pre | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetl: | and: BC-20 |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acre | s): 0.84 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | SE/FS |
| Wetland Location: | | South of Lowe Road | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | NUMBER OF THE | Fish Habita | t | Wat Qual | COLUMN TO SERVICE | Hydrol Cont | THE RESERVE AND PERSONS ASSESSED. | Sensiti to Imp | PARTITION OF THE |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | OK 5 | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | Urani i | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | - | Q-7 | В | | |
| Q-8 | A | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |
| OOD | 0 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control function is lost or not present | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|--------|--------------|---------------|---|
| 4 Q | A | Q | A | Q | \mathbf{A} | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | B |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has little enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunitie | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-20 | | | |
|-------------|---|---|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.84 | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | (1) 医侧侧 对 | | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality | y limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is primarily open space | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | | |
| Rationale: | | Total CA marking I provided the s | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is primar | ily open space | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic contro | l function is lost or not prese | nt Alexandre | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | tland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Open space downslope of development | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Agriculture upslope of wetland | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | This had been been | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is primarily op | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developm | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has little er | nhancement potential | | | | |
| | One or more functions lost/not present | Wetland is less than | 0.5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is les | s than 10% | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | 到,你不管理学的意思 | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | oes not provide rec. opportui | nities . | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat f | or some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | |
| THE RESERVE | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | 第二人的基础的 | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | at wetland | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can be removed | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-21 | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PFO | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 6/6/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.92 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | PF/SE | | |
| Wetland Location: | South of Main Street | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | SELECTION OF | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------|----|------------------|-----|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | 10 | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 7 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | - | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | - 1 | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |
| The same | 1052,507 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potenti | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|---------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-21 | | | |
|----------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.92 | | | |
| Date: | 6/6/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qualit | y limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ss than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | 學。不過過數數學 | | | |
| Rationale: | | | The Table | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrade | ed the second second | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | Limited streams | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | trol is impacted or degraded | ON THE STATE OF TH | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Dominated by woody veg | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslope of wetland | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslop | e of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| a had a second | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is primarily develop | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woody | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | ootential for enhancement | 自然是,从其他的主义 | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | 5 and 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is less than 10% | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not ae | sthetically pleasing | | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by | development | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | t at wetland | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Continuous traffic and nat | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L | WI | Vetland: | BC-22A | |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | s): | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 6/6/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | | 0.71 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Partial | Investigator(s) |): | PF/SE | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Molalla Forest Road, west of Molalla Ave., south of Hwy 2 | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| | Vildlife Iabitat | | ıt | Wat Qual | CONTRACTOR A | Hydrol Cont | THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN | Sensiti to Imp | MERCHES THE |
|------|---------------------|-----|----|-------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | Α | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | E |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | В | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | - | | | | |
| Q-9A | 10 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is impacted or degraded | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | B |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-22A | |
|-------------|---|--|----------------------|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.71 | |
| Date: | 6/6/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Qua | lity limited streams | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation & ponding | Adjacent land is mo | ostly developed | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is | less than 10% | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish habitat func | tion is impacted or degrad | led | |
| 7, 9, 5 | Less than 25% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qual | lity Limited streams | |
| Rationale: | Stream banks are extensively modified | Adjacent land is mo | ostly developed | |
| | <10% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout present in stream | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degra | ded | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | on to other wetlands | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is mo | ostly developed | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stream | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degrade | ed | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation & por | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | ut of wetland Agriculture upslope of wetla | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially so | ensitive to future impacts | 大名 进入工工工 | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prin | narily development | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetat | ion & ponding | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | otential for enhancement | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less th | nan 0.5 acres | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is less than 10% | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive t | o future impacts | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | 第八個的關係的關係 | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to | wetland exists | |
| Rationale: | More than 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited r | nobility accessible | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or do | es not provide rec. oppor | tunities | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for some wildli | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is | allowed | |
| er Colorado | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not aes | thetically pleasing | 分解海峡 | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded | by development | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Unpleasant odors are p | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Continuous traffic and n | atural noises occur | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI | etland: | BC-22B | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): PI | | PFO, POW | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 5/1/01, 6/6/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | | 10.64 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Partial | Investigator(s): | | PF/SE | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Molalla Forest | south of Hwy 2 | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Wat Qual | and the party and the last | Hydrol Conti | | Sensiti to Imp | DELINE SHE |
|---------------------|---|-----------------|---|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------|-------------------|------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | E |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | F |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | | -,-1 | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides diverse wildlife habitat |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is intact |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is intact |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control function is intact |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|-----|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | - | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | - 7 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В |
| Q-6 | | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, this wetland cannot be enhanced | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-22B | | |
|-------------|---|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 10.64 | | |
| Date: | 5/1/01, 6/6/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO, POW | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides d | iverse wildlife habitat | Like It dr. 166 | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | |
| | Between 0.5 - 1 acre of open water | Wetland buffer is g | reater than 40% | | |
| Result: | Wetland's fish hab | itat function is intact | Habi Path Col | | |
| | 50% or more of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qual | ity Limited streams | | |
| Rationale: | Stream is in a natural channel | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | |
| | >25% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout | oresent in stream | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-qu | ality function is intact | | | |
| | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland is more tha | n 5 acres in size | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land use is pr | imarily agriculture | | |
| The Manager | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited stream | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic | control function is intact | 国的政党总统合成公共 | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by woody vegetation | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Development downslope of wetlan | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is primarily agricultur | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prin | narily development | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by woo | dy vegetation | | |
| Result: | Due to diverse wildlife habitat, | this wetland cannot be enl | anced | | |
| | the contract and substituting on the property and the | 1 | TOTAL - | | |
| Rationale: | A St. Daniel Ser. Mai Spirit | a deficit | 101 2350 | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to | 177 | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited i | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | - | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. oppor | tunities | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists Wetland provides diverse wildlife | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is | allowed | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | |
| Result: | | ered to be pleasing | 拉索科·斯勒 | | |
| | More than two Cowardin classes are visible | Wetland surrounded by | landscaped areas | | |
| Rationale: | Less than 25% of wetland can be seen | Unpleasant odors are present sometimes | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural noises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L | WI Wetl | and: BC-22C | | |
|------------------------|---|--------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): PEN | | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acre | es): 3.48 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF/SE | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Molalla Forest Road, west of Molalla Ave., south of Hwy 21 | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | 100 miles 100 mi | Fish Habitat | | Wat Qual | THE RESERVE | Hydrol Conti | | Sensiti to Imp | DOMESTIC AND ADDRESS. |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|-------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | - | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 12.56 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | 1- | Q-7 | В | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | - | | | | |
| Q-9A | 11 70 70 | | | | | y = -V 1 = - 1 | | | |
| OOR | A | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | |
| Water Quality Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or deg | | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | 200 | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | AUT |
|----------------------|-----|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|-----|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | B |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-22C | | |
|----------------|---|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 3.48 | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | (10)的基础的基础 | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is prim | arily agriculture | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is grea | iter than 40% | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 光型型超越多多数 | | |
| Rationale: | | Tanker Amount of the | OBCOM | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrade | d | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is prim | arily agriculture | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | trol is impacted or degraded | a messale week | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Agriculture downslop | e of wetland | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Agriculture upslope of wetland | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | 2.10万米美国(1.43) | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prim | arily agriculture | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily develop | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | ı, no ponding | | |
| Result: | | nhancement potential | MARK 医潜水性斑 | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0.5 and 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is greater than 40% | | | |
| The Assessment | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | 1 14 14 | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat f | or some wildlife | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | lowed | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by la | andscaped areas | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Unpleasant odors are pre | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and nat | ural noises occur | | |

Oregon Freshwater Wetland Assessment Methodology (Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-22D | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------|--|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.78 | | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF/SE | | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Molalla Forest | North of Molalla Forest Road, west of Molalla Ave., south of Hwy 2 | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | 145 HE 15 | Fish Habita | t | Wat Qual | Broken. | Hydrol Conti | SUCCESSION SERVICES | Sensiti to Imp | Mary Company Co. 45 |
|-----------------|-----------|----------------|--------|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | the of | Q-1 | С | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 1.50 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | 12.0 | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | В | | |
| Q-8 | В | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | 100 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В |
| Q-5B | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-22D | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.78 | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | 数是是基础的 | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land use is prin | narily agriculture | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is great | ater than 40% | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | 建 | | |
| Rationale: | | The second secon | TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ection is impacted or degrade | ed Same as make in | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land use is prin | narily agriculture | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | trol is impacted or degraded | 。 《中心》 | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Agriculture downslop | e of wetland | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Agriculture upslope | of wetland | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | MANAGE VIA | | |
| 建筑型的 | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land use is prim | narily agriculture | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prima | rily development | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | nhancement potential | 1990年,1950年 | | |
| 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | 5 and 5 acres | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is grea | ater than 40% | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | opriate for educational use | 被刺激的表示。 | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | |
| 197 | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | 1 - 7 | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | lowed | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | |
| Result: | Wetland is consid | ered to be pleasing | | | |
| | Two Cowardin classes visible | Wetland surrounded by la | andscaped areas | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Unpleasant odors are pre | esent sometimes | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Continuous traffic and nat | ural noises occur | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetl: | and: BC-22E | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------|--|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 6/6/01 | Approx. Area (acre | s): 0.27 | | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | PF/SE | | | |
| Wetland Location: | North of Molalla Forest | North of Molalla Forest Road, west of Molalla Ave., south of Hwy 2 | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | GENERAL TO | Fish Habitat | Wat Qual | \$4555E365E | Hydrol Cont | | Sensiti to Imp | THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY NAMED IN |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Q | A | Q A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | Q-6 | \mathbf{C} | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | Q-7 | В | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | - 1 | | | | |
| Q-9A | 5.5 | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | B931 182 | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|----------|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|---|
| \mathbf{Q} | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has moderate potential for enhancement | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-22E | | | |
|-------------|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.27 | | | |
| Date: | 6/6/01 | Wetland Types(s): PEM | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | nt for some wildlife species | 建建筑是100元 | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | |
| 123,85882 | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ess than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 作為個別學的問題制 | | | |
| Rationale: | (19/1/1) | AND THE PROGRAM OF THE | Carry Carry | | | |
| 北海 | | Federal States | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrad | ed The second of the second | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation | Isolated from other | er wetlands | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degraded | led red Mestales at | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetatio | n, no ponding | | | |
| Rationale: | pe of wetland | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Agriculture upslope | e of wetland | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially so | ensitive to future impacts | 是自己的 | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prima | rily development | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has moderate p | otential for enhancement | 的問題。圖書學記 | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less than 0.5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is le | ss than 10% | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | |
| 设建设度 | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | More than 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited m | obility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or do | oes not provide rec. opportu | ınities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is a | llowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | | |
| Result: | | sthetically pleasing | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | y development | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Unpleasant odors are pr | esent sometimes | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Continuous traffic and na | tural noises occur | | | |

Oregon Freshwater Wetland Assessment Methodology (Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-23A | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PFO | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 5/1/01, 5/24/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.25 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | PF, SE, FS | | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molalla Avenue, south of 5th Street | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | | Fish Habitat | | Wat Qual | 5-10-2-12-20 | Hydrol Cont | | Sensiti to Imp | ET COMMON TO SERVICE STATE OF THE PARTY OF T |
|-----------------|-----|-----------------|---|-------------|--------------|----------------|------|-------------------|--|
| Q | A | Q - | Α | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | 1 | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | - | - | P.M. | | |
| Q-9A | 200 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | 是EDVERSON TO THE | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | 在自己的 |
|----------------------|--|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|-------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | 115.51 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|--|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland has the potential to provide recreational activities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be moderately pleasing |



| Location: | Molalla LWI Wetland: BC-23A | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| THE PROPERTY OF STREET, STREET | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.25 | | | | | |
| Date: | 5/1/01, 5/24/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | 经的数据 | | | | | |
| No. Reserve | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quali | ty limited streams | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | 对加坡等 更 | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fund | ction is impacted or degrad | ed · | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland does not flood or pond | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | | | | | | |
| Result: | | rol is impacted or degraded | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by wood | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland does not flood or pond | Development downslo | | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslop | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly development. | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prima | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | hancement potential | | | | | | |
| The state of the s | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is less tha | n 0.5 acres | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potentia | al for educational use | 拉思·斯特斯斯 斯斯斯 | | | | | |
| | | Unmaintained public acce | ss within 250 feet | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is limited mob | Charles and Charle | | | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has the potential to | provide recreational activiti | es | | | | | |
| T The state of the | Unmaintained public access within 250 feet | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | | |
| | Unmaintained trails, viewing areas exist | No hunting is allowed | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered to | be moderately pleasing | | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by I | andscaped areas | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | t at wetland | | | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can be removed | Some traffic and natural r | noises are present | | | | | |

Oregon Freshwater Wetland Assessment Methodology (Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-23B | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.14 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molalla Avenue, south of 5th Street | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE | Fish Habitat | | | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------|-----|------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | 200 | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 1421 | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 1 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | 14.7 | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | _ | | | | |
| 0-94 | - 1 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|---|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is lost or not present |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | 一块的面景 |
|----------------------|-------|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|--------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | all s | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has little enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-23B | | | |
|------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.14 | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habit | at for some wildlife species | 的域。經濟學 | | | |
| | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quali | ty limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ss than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | 學。於學科學。 | | | |
| | | Commence of the commence of the | and the same of th | | | |
| Rationale: | Ref. 10 and 10 a | | New A Sec | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality for | unction is lost or not present | | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Isolated from othe | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | tly developed | | | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | y Limited streams | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | trol is impacted or degraded | Exercise services | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no | | | | | |
| Rationale: | ale: Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Development downslope of | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslop | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water is taken out or isolated wetland | Adjacent zoning is prima | rily development | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | n, no ponding | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has little e | nhancement potential | 对自然后在 的工程是 | | | |
| | One or more functions lost/not present | Wetland is less tha | n 0.5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | opriate for educational use | 建设设计划实施 | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited me | obility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | llowed | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not ae | sthetically pleasing | 发展的 | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | development | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Some traffic and natural r | oises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla LV | WI Wetland: | BC-23C | | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|--------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 3.49 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF | | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molalla Avenue, south of 5th Street | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| -0 | NAME AND DESCRIPTIONS | | 上2000年時数 | Qual | Fish Water Habitat Quality | | ogic rol | Sensiti to Imp | 202200000000000000000000000000000000000 |
|------|-----------------------|-----|----------|------|----------------------------|-----|-------------|-------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | 3 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | the said | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | E PROPERTY OF THE |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|-------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | B | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-23C | | | | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 3.49 | | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | (1) 人们人称[1] 化 | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | | |
| 企造版证明 | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | e de la companya de l | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrade | d resident to | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | | |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | trol is impacted or degraded | 2.5.6.100 (A.S. 1977) | | | | | |
| 高度研究的 | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Development downslo | | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslope | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly deve | | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developmen | | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | , no ponding | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | nhancement potential | · 多种的 學種 (1) | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0 | 5 and 5 acres | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is les | s than 10% | | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | etland exists | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited mo | bility accessible | | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | 2 3 200 | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportui | nities | | | | | |
| "树"的 | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat f | or some wildlife | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | owed | | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not ae | sthetically pleasing | 《清楚》 第16年19月 | | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | development | | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | at wetland | | | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Some traffic and natural no | oises are present | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wet | land: | BC-23D | |
|------------------------|---|--------------------|-------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | | 1,60 | PEM | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acre | es): | 6.77 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | | PF | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molalla Avenue, south of 5th Street | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | METATOCISH XX | Fish Habitat | t | Wat Qual | CONTRACTOR - | Hydrol Cont | | Sensiti to Imp | STEPHILLIONS |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---|-------------|--------------|----------------|---|-------------------|--------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | - |
| Q-8 | C | | | | - 4 | | | | |
| Q-9A | 911 | | | | | | | | |
| O-9B | C | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | |
|----------------------|------------------|-------|------|--------|--------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}'$ | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | DIAD. | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | A | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | \boldsymbol{C} | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | B | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | |



| Clackamas County Approx. Area (acres): 6.77 | Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-23D | | |
|--|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Result: Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 6.77 | | |
| One Cowardin class with > 5 species No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | |
| Result: Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Result: Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Result: Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Rationale: Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland No adjacent water Quality Limited streams Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland No adjacent water Quality Limited streams Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Result: Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is greater than 5 acres Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is less than 10% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use No access allowed to wetland No access point to wetland exists wetland is not limited mobility accessible No access or observation of other habitats Result: Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec, opportunities No boat launching can be developed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Noteval of wetland is not aesthetically pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland surrounded by development | Result: | Wetland provides habita | at for some wildlife species | Marka Land | | |
| Result: Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | One Cowardin class with > 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | |
| Result: Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | |
| Result: Result: Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Rationale: Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Moderate vegetation cover Wetland is mostly developed Moderate vegetation cover No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Rationale: Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Rationale: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland No adjacent land is mostly developed Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Pevelopment upslope of wetland Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed Water not taken out No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Result: Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is greater than 5 acres Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is less than 10% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use No access allowed to wetland No access point to wetland exists No access or observation of other habitats Result: Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities No access point to wetland exists Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed No traits or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No hunting is allowed Potentially pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland surrounded by development Nationale: N | | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | |
| Result: Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Metland is more than 5 acres in size Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Adjacent land is mostly developed Moderate vegetation cover No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Development downslope of wetland Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Development upslope of wetland Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Development upslope of wetland Water not taken out Adjacent land is mostly developed Adjacent vaning is primarily development No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Result: Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is greater than 5 acres Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is less than 10% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use No access allowed to wetland No access point to wetland exists No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No fishing is allowed No comment of the provided in the provided by development No access point to wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | |
| Primary water source is groundwater Wetland is more than 5 acres in size | Rationale: | DEACTE TO THE TOTAL TO | Control of the Contro | T-1 - 201 | | |
| Primary water source is groundwater Wetland is more than 5 acres in size | Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrade | ed . | | |
| Result: Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Moderate vegetation cover Moderate vegetation cover No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Result: Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland No adjacent land is mostly developed Adjacent land is mostly developed Adjacent zoning is primarily development No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed Adjacent zoning is primarily development No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding Result: Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland is greater than 5 acres Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is less than 10% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use No access allowed to wetland No access point to wetland exists No access or observation of other habitats Result: Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities No access point to wetland exists Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No access point to wetland can be seen Natural odors present a | | | | | | |
| Moderate vegetation cover No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Rationale: | | | | | |
| Result: Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | | | | |
| Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Result: | | | | | |
| Rationale: Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed Rationale: Water not taken out No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Result: Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use No access allowed to wetland No access point to wetland exists Result: Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities No access point to wetland exists No boat launching can be developed No trails or viewing areas exist No houting is allowed Result: Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | | | | n, no ponding | | |
| Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Development upslope of wetland | Rationale: | | | | | |
| Result: Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed | | | | A | | |
| Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed | Result: | | | | | |
| Rationale: Water not taken out No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Wetland has high enhancement potential Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Primary water source is groundwater Wetland buffer is less than 10% Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts Result: Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use No access allowed to wetland No access point to wetland exists No access or observation of other habitats Result: Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities No access point to wetland exists No boat launching can be developed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | MAN MET | | | | | |
| No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Rationale: | Water not taken out | | | | |
| Result: Wetland has high enhancement potential | | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | | | | |
| Wetland functions are impacted or degraded Wetland is greater than 5 acres | Result: | | | | | |
| Result: Result: No access allowed to wetland No access point to wetland exists Result: Wetland is not appropriate for educational use No access or observation of other habitats Result: Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities No access point to wetland exists Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed No trails or viewing areas exist No access point to wetland exists Wetland surrounded by development Rationale: One Cowardin class is visible Wetland buffer is less than 10% Potentially sensitive to future impacts No access point to wetland exists Wetland is not limited mobility accessible Wetland provide rec. opportunities No fishing is allowed No fishing is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Wetland surrounded by development Natural odors present at wetland | | | | han 5 acres | | |
| Water flow is permanently restricted Potentially sensitive to future impacts | Rationale: | | | | | |
| Result: Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use No access allowed to wetland No access point to wetland exists | | | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | |
| Rationale: No access allowed to wetland No access point to wetland exists Wetland is not limited mobility accessible No access or observation of other habitats Result: Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities No access point to wetland exists Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland surrounded by development Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | <u> </u> | | NOTE AND LANGUE OF THE PARTY OF | | |
| Result: No access or observation of other habitats Result: Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities No access point to wetland exists No boat launching can be developed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland surrounded by development Natural odors present at wetland | 建设设施 | | | etland exists | | |
| Result: Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities No access point to wetland exists Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland surrounded by development Rationale: >50% of wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | | | | |
| Rationale: No access point to wetland exists No boat launching can be developed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland surrounded by development Natural odors present at wetland | | No access or observation of other habitats | 7 18 1 | | | |
| Rationale: No boat launching can be developed No fishing is allowed No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Result: Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland surrounded by development Rationale: >50% of wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | |
| Result: No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland surrounded by development Natural odors present at wetland | | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | |
| Result: Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing One Cowardin class is visible Wetland surrounded by development Rationale: >50% of wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | | | | |
| One Cowardin class is visible Wetland surrounded by development Natural odors present at wetland Natural odors present at wetland | | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is al | lowed | | |
| Rationale: >50% of wetland can be seen Natural odors present at wetland | Result: | | | | | |
| | Done. | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | development | | |
| | Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | | | | |
| | | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-23E | | |
|------------------------|---|------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | ty Wetland Type(s): PF | | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 3.84 | | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF | | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molalla Avenue, south of 5th Street | | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | 2000年10日日本 | Fish Habita | t | Wat Qual | | Hydrol Cont | | Sensiti to Imp | THE RESERVE OF |
|----------------|------------|----------------|-----|-------------|---|----------------|-------|-------------------|----------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | | Q-1 | С | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 1 | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 100 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | | 10/15 | | |
| 0.04 | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | |
|---|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | |
| Water Quality Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degra | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Education | | Recreation | | Aesthetic Quality | |
|--------------------------|-------|-----------|---|------------|---|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | С |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | 11-11 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-23E | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 3.84 | | | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PFO/PSS | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habit | at for some wildlife species | 医乳红腺素 4/6 2 | | | | | |
| 的情况是被 | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is mostly develope | | | | | | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | | | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE | | racile de la companya | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ection is impacted or degrade | ed | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is mos | tly developed | | | | | |
| 就是 | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Quality | y Limited streams | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic con | trol is impacted or degraded | THE ASSESSMENT OF | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Dominated by wood | y vegetation | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslo | pe of wetland | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslop | e of wetland | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prima | rily development | | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by wood | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high e | nhancement potential | | | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | .5 and 5 acres | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | opriate for educational use | | | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited mo | obility accessible | | | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | 7.0 | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | oes not provide rec. opportu | nities | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | for some wildlife | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not ae | sthetically pleasing | | | | | | |
| NOTE OF THE PARTY | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | development | | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | t at wetland | | | | | |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-23F | |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--------|--|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | nas County Wetland Type(s): | | |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 5.89 | |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF | |
| Wetland Location: | East of Molalla Avenue, south of 5th Street | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildlife Habitat | | Fish Habitat | | Water Quality | | TO SHARE THE REAL PROPERTY. | | | | Hydrol Conti | | Sensiti to Imp | P90000 14PF |
|---------------------|------|-----------------|-------|------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----|-----|---|-----------------|--|-------------------|-------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | | | | |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | anli- | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | | | | |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | B | | | | |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | C | | | | |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | 1.0 | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | | | | |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | | | | |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C | | | | |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | | | | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | | 10.00 | 3.1 | | | | | | |
| Q-9A | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Q-9B | C | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | | |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potenti: | Education | | Recrea | tion | Aesthetic Quality | | |
|----------------------|-----------|-----|--------|------|----------------------|-----|---|
| \mathbf{Q} | A | Q | A | Q | \mathbf{A} | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | A | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5B | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-23F | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 5.89 | | | |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM/PSS | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habit | at for some wildlife species | MARKET STATE | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quality | ty limited streams | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mos | | | | |
| 是為整個機能 | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is le | ss than 10% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | assessed for this wetland | 第 次表示的模型数据 | | | |
| D 4: 1 | | The state of the s | - Andrews | | | |
| Rationale: | Fig. Steller | | and the second | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degrade | ed | | | |
| A COUNTY | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland is more than | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Adjacent land is mos | | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Qualit | | | | |
| Result: | | rol is impacted or degraded | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation | | | | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds Development downslope of we | | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is most | ly developed | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prima | rily development | | | |
| 17. 法被告题。 | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high en | nhancement potential | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is greater t | han 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is groundwater | Wetland buffer is les | ss than 10% | | | |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to | future impacts | | | |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | | | | |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to w | etland exists | | | |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited mo | obility accessible | | | |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | 3.71 | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or d | | | | | |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is al | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is a | llowed | | | |
| Result: | | sthetically pleasing | | | | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by | | | | |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | | | | |
| 10000000000000000000000000000000000000 | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L | WI Wetland | : BC-23G |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM/PFO |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 2.82 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | PF |
| Wetland Location: | East of M | olalla Avenue, south of 5th | Street |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | 200 | Fish Habita | it | Wat Qual | SESSION REPORTS - | Hydrol Cont | | Sensiti to Im | |
|----------------|-----|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|---|------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | \mathbf{A} | Q | A | Q | Α | Q | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | Α | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | \boldsymbol{C} | Q-6 | A | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | 7 - 7 | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | C | | | | 1 7 | | | | |
| 0-9A | 101 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Wetland's fish habitat function is impacted or degraded |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is impacted or degraded |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Education | | Recrea | tion | Aesthetic Quality | |
|--------------------------|-----|-----------|---|--------|------|----------------------|--------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | 417 | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | \mathbf{C} |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | 0-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|---|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is not aesthetically pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-23G |
|-------------|---|------------------------------|---|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 2.82 |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM/PFO |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | nt for some wildlife species | |
| 三型形态 | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Qua | lity limited streams |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is betw | een 10% and 40% |
| Result: | Wetland's fish habitat func | tion is impacted or degrad | led |
| | 25-50% of stream is shaded | No adjacent Water Qual | ity Limited streams |
| Rationale: | Stream is in a natural channel | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed |
| | <10% of stream has instream structures | Salmon and/or trout | present in stream |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality fun | ction is impacted or degra | ded |
| *** | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | n to other wetlands |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed |
| | Moderate vegetation cover | No adjacent Water Qual | ity Limited streams |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic cont | rol is impacted or degrade | d |
| 3. 香味香味 | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetati | on, no ponding |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Development downs | lope of wetland |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslo | ope of wetland |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially so | ensitive to future impacts | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is mo | stly developed |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is prin | narily development |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetati | on, no ponding |
| Result: | Wetland has high er | hancement potential | [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between | 0.5 and 5 acres |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is betw | een 10% and 40% |
| | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive t | o future impacts |
| Result: | Wetland site is not appro | priate for educational use | 第四种的基础的 |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to | wetland exists |
| Rationale: | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards | Wetland is not limited r | nobility accessible |
| | No access or observation of other habitats | | |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or de | es not provide rec. opport | unities |
| | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is | allowed |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist | No hunting is | allowed |
| Result: | Wetland is not aes | thetically pleasing | |
| | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded | by development |
| Rationale: | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors prese | ent at wetland |
| | Visual detractors present, can't be removed | Some traffic and natural | noises are present |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-24A |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PFO |
| Date(s) of field work: | 5/24/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.73 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | SE/FS |
| Wetland Location: | South of Ea | st 7th Street, west of Mathia | as Court |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | ALCOHOLD BY | Fish Habita | t | Wat Qual | TOTAL STATE OF THE STATE OF | Hydrol Cont | COLUMN TO SERVICE DE LA COMPANIONE DE LA | Sensiti to Im | Married World Land St. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--|------------------|------------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 195 | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | A |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | 7C - 2T | |
| Q-8 | A | | | | _ | | | | |
| Q-9A | 11 | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is intact |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Education | | Recreation | | Aesthetic Quality | |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|---|------------|---|----------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | - C | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | 12 150 | Q-3 | B | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|--|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland has the potential to provide recreational activities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-24A | | | | |
|---------------|---|--|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.73 | | | | |
| Date: | 5/24/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PFO | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | t for some wildlife species | 美国的 | | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Qualit | ty limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Dominated by woody vegetation | Adjacent land is prima | rily open space | | | | |
| THE PLANT | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | STREET STREET | | | | |
| Rationale: | 10 to 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | 100000000000000000000000000000000000000 | n and trust | | | | |
| Kationaic. | 17 Dr. of Calabrida St. St. and Calabrida | The State of the S | The state of | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality function is intact | | | | | | |
| | Primary water source is precipitation Surface water connection to o | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is prima | rily open space | | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover No adjacent Water Quality Limit | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded | | | | | | |
| | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Dominated by woody vego | | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Open space downslope | of development | | | | |
| | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslop | e of wetland | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | 医酚脂型类型类型 | | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is primar | rily open space | | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily developme | | | | | |
| 经验的 | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Dominated by wood | y vegetation | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high er | hancement potential | Selfation of the | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0 | .5 and 5 acres | | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is precipitation | Wetland buffer is between 10% and 40 | | | | | |
| 为有。 等国 | Water flow is permanently restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impact | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potenti | al for educational use | | | | | |
| | Wetland access by landowner permission | Unmaintained public acce | ss within 250 feet | | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited me | obility accessible | | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | sed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has the potential to | provide recreational activiti | es | | | | |
| | Unmaintained public access within 250 feet | Wetland provides habitat for some wildli | | | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allowed | | | | | | |
| Result: | | ered to be pleasing | | | | | |
| | More than two Cowardin classes are visible | Wetland surrounded by | y natural areas | | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors presen | t at wetland | | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural r | noises are present | | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland | d: BC-24B |
|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Clackamas County Wetland Type(s): | |
| Date(s) of field work: | 5/24/01 | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.08 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | Yes | Investigator(s): | SE/FS |
| Wetland Location: | South of Ea | st 7th Street, west of Math | ias Court |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildl Habit | | | | | | Hydrologic Control | | Sensitivity to Impact | |
|----------------|---|-----|-----|-----|---|-----------------------|-----|--------------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | | Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | - 1 | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | В | Q-3 | | Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | A | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | C |
| Q-7 | A | | | | | Q-7 | A | | |
| Q-8 | A | | | | | URS . | 770 | | |
| Q-8 | A | | | | | | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|--|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is intact |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancen Potentia | | Educa | tion | Recrea | tion | Aesth Qual | THE REAL PROPERTY. |
|----------------------|---|-------|------|--------|------|---------------|--------------------|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A |
| Q-1 | A | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | A | Q-2 | A | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | В |
| Q-3 | A | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | A |
| Q-4 | B | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5B | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | В | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has high enhancement potential |
|------------------------------|--|
| Education | Wetland has potential for educational use |
| Recreation | Wetland has the potential to provide recreational activities |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-24B | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 1.08 | | | |
| Date: | 5/24/01 | Wetland Types(s): | PEM | | | |
| Result: | Wetland provides habita | nt for some wildlife species | 的人工的电话是是不 | | | |
| | More than one Cowardin class | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | | | | |
| Rationale: | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is primar | rily open space | | | |
| 李紫原 医毒素 | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is between | en 10% and 40% | | | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not a | ssessed for this wetland | | | | |
| Rationale: | | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland's water-quality function is intact | | | | | |
| NAME OF TAXABLE | Primary water source is surface flow | Surface water connection | to other wetlands | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Adjacent land is primar | rily open space | | | |
| | High wetland vegetation cover | | | | | |
| Result: | | rol is impacted or degraded | 在 的信息等比较更多 | | | |
| 有限的基础 | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain Herbaceous vegetation, no po | | | | | |
| Rationale: | Wetland floods/ponds in growing season | Open space downslope | | | | |
| 100 mm | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland | Development upslop | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is potentially s | ensitive to future impacts | 医外外的发表神经 多 | | | |
| | Stream modified or isolated wetland | Adjacent land is primar | ily open space | | | |
| Rationale: | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primar | | | | |
| | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has high er | hancement potential | | | | |
| | Wetland functions are impacted or degraded | Wetland is between 0. | 5 and 5 acres | | | |
| Rationale: | Primary water source is surface flow | Wetland buffer is betwee | n 10% and 40% | | | |
| | Flow into wetland is not restricted | Potentially sensitive to future impacts | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has potenti | al for educational use | | | | |
| Self Wholes | Wetland access by landowner permission | Unmaintained public access | ss within 250 feet | | | |
| Rationale: | No visible hazards to public | Wetland is not limited mo | | | | |
| | Other habitats can be observed not accessed | | | | | |
| Result: | Wetland has the potential to | provide recreational activitie | es | | | |
| | Unmaintained public access within 250 feet | Wetland provides habitat i | for some wildlife | | | |
| Rationale: | No boat launching can be developed | No fishing is allowed | | | | |
| | No trails or viewing areas exist No hunting is allow | | | | | |
| Result: | | ered to be pleasing | | | | |
| | More than two Cowardin classes are visible | Wetland surrounded by | natural areas | | | |
| Rationale: | 25 - 50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present | t at wetland | | | |
| | No visual detractors are present | Some traffic and natural n | oises are present | | | |

(Revised Edition, April 1996)

Wetland Assessment Summary Sheet



Pacific Habitat Services, Inc.

| Project Name: | Molalla L' | WI Wetland: | BC-25 |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Project Location: | Clackamas County | Wetland Type(s): | PEM |
| Date(s) of field work: | OFF-SITE | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.03 |
| Onsite Assessment?: | No | Investigator(s): | SE/PF |
| Wetland Location: | West of rai | lroad tracks, south of Heintz | Street |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Wildli Habit | CANCELL STATE OF THE STATE OF T | Fish Habita | t | Wat Qual | AND WARRY OF THE PARTY OF THE P | Hydrol Conti | | Sensiti to Im | |
|-----------------|--|----------------|--------|-------------|--|-----------------|---|------------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | Q | A | \mathbf{Q} | A |
| Q-1 | C | Q-1 | | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | В | Q-1 | A |
| Q-2 | C | Q-2 | MAS II | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | B |
| Q-3 | C | Q-3 | - (6) | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | (|
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | | Q-4 | \mathbf{C} | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | A |
| Q-5 | В | Q-5 | 7. 7 | Q-5 | A | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | | Q-6 | C | Q-6 | Α | Q-6 | (|
| Q-7 | A | 1316.0 | | | | Q-7 | Α | | |
| Q-8 O-9A | C | | | | | 74 | | | |

Results:

| Wildlife Habitat | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species |
|-----------------------|---|
| Fish Habitat | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland |
| Water Quality | Wetland's water-quality function is lost or not present |
| Hydrologic Control | Wetland's hydrologic control is impacted or degraded |
| Sensitivity to Impact | Wetland is potentially sensitive to future impacts |

Function and Condition Assessment Answers:

| Enhancement Potential | | Education | | Recreation | | Aesth Qual | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------|---|------------|----|---------------|---|
| Q | A | Q | A | Q | Α | Q | A |
| Q-1 | В | Q-1 | C | Q-1 | -C | Q-1 | C |
| Q-2 | \mathbf{B} | Q-2 | В | Q-2 | C | Q-2 | A |
| Q-3 | | Q-3 | В | Q-3 | C | Q-3 | В |
| Q-4 | C | Q-4 | C | Q-4 | В | Q-4 | B |
| Q-5B | \boldsymbol{C} | Q-5 | C | Q-5 | B | Q-5 | A |
| Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | В | Q-6 | A |

| Enhancement Potential | Wetland has little enhancement potential | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Education | Wetland site is not appropriate for educational use | | | |
| Recreation | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | | |
| Aesthetic Quality | Wetland is considered to be pleasing | | | |



| Project: | Molalla LWI | Wetland: | BC-25 |
|------------|--|--|-------------------|
| Location: | Clackamas County | Approx. Area (acres): | 0.03 |
| Date: | OFF-SITE | Wetland Types(s): | PEM |
| Result: | Wetland provides habitat for some wildlife species | | |
| Rationale: | One Class with less than 5 species | No adjacent Water Quality limited streams | |
| | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | Adjacent land is mostly developed | |
| | Less than 0.5 acres of open water | Wetland buffer is less than 10% | |
| Result: | Fish habitat was not assessed for this wetland | | |
| Rationale: | The court of the c | Amendani Varia | diaker S |
| Result: | Watland's water quality f | unction is lost or not present | |
| Result. | Primary water source is groundwater | Isolated from other wetlands | |
| Rationale: | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | | |
| | Low vegetation cover | Adjacent land is mostly developed No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | |
| Result: | | control is impacted or degraded | |
| Result: | Wetland is not within 100 year floodplain | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | |
| | • | | |
| | Can't determine if wetland floods or ponds | Development downslope of wetland | |
| Result: | Water has unrestricted flow out of wetland Development upslope of we | | of wetfalld |
| Result: | Stream modified or isolated wetland Adjacent land is mostly developed | | |
| Rationale: | | | |
| | Water not taken out | Adjacent zoning is primarily development | |
| Result: | No adjacent Water Quality Limited streams | Herbaceous vegetation, no ponding | |
| Result: | One or more functions lost/not present | Wetland is less than | 0.5 cares |
| Rationale: | | Wetland buffer is less | |
| | Primary water source is groundwater Water flow is permanently restricted | | |
| Result: | | Potentially sensitive to future impacts appropriate for educational use | |
| Rationale: | Laboratory and the same of the | | tland oviets |
| | No access allowed to wetland | No access point to we | |
| | 1 or 2 visible safety hazards No access or observation of other habitats | Wetland is not limited mo | offity accessible |
| Result: | Wetland is not appropriate or does not provide rec. opportunities | | |
| Rationale: | No access point to wetland exists | Wetland provides habitat for | |
| | | | |
| | No boat launching can be developed No trails or viewing areas exist | No fishing is allowed No hunting is allowed | |
| Result: | | | |
| Result: | Wetland is considered to be pleasing One Coverdin class is visible Wetland surrounded by landscaped gross | | |
| Rationale: | One Cowardin class is visible | Wetland surrounded by landscaped areas | |
| | >50% of wetland can be seen | Natural odors present at wetland | |
| | Visual detractors present, can be removed | Some traffic and natural noises are present | |